arXiv:1512.07785v1 [math.AG] 24 Dec 2015

Quivers and moduli spaces of pointed curves of genus zero

Mark Blume, Lutz Hille

Abstract

We construct moduli spaces of representations of quivers over arbitrary schemes and show how moduli spaces of pointed curves of genus zero like the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ and the Losev-Manin moduli spaces $\overline{L}_n$ can be interpreted as inverse limits of moduli spaces of representations of certain bipartite quivers. We also investigate the case of more general Hassett moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ of weighted pointed stable curves of genus zero.

Contents

Introduction **2**

1 Moduli spaces of quiver representations **5**
1.1 Moduli of quiver representations over arbitrary schemes 5
1.2 Decomposition of the weight space and limits of moduli spaces of quiver representations 10

2 Weight space decomposition and moduli spaces for some bipartite quivers **12**
2.1 The quivers P_n, Q_n and the structure of the weight space 12
2.2 Relation between representations of Q_{n+2} and P_n 14
2.3 Moduli spaces for P_n, Q_n and GIT quotients of products of $\mathbb{P}^1$ 16
2.4 The functor of inverse limits of quiver varieties for P_n and Q_n 18

3 Losev-Manin and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces and root systems of type A **24**
3.1 Losev-Manin and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces 24
3.2 Embeddings into products of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and relation to root systems of type A . . . 25
3.3 Losev-Manin and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces as limits of moduli spaces of quiver representations 30

4 Hassett moduli spaces and moduli spaces of quiver representations **33**
4.1 Hassett moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ of weighted pointed curves of genus zero 33
4.2 The functor of points of $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ 33
4.3 Hassett moduli spaces as limits of moduli spaces of quiver representations . 35

References **37**

Research supported by DFG CRC 878 Groups, Geometry and Actions.

Introduction

The main topic of this paper is the relation of moduli spaces of pointed curves of genus zero, in particular the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ and the Losev-Manin moduli spaces $\overline{L}_n$ but also more general Hassett moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves of genus zero $\overline{M}_{0,a}$, to moduli spaces of representations of the following quivers Q_n and P_n with fixed dimension vector as indicated by the numbers at the vertices:



Q_n
$(n+1$ vertices$)$

P_n
$(n+2$ vertices$)$

Moduli spaces of representations $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$ of a quiver Q with some fixed dimension vector are constructed via geometric invariant theory (GIT) and depend on the choice of a weight θ. The collection of $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$ for θ representing the finitely many GIT equivalence classes forms an inverse system and as inverse limit we have a space $\varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$ independent of choice of weights.

In this paper we show over arbitrary base schemes (see theorems 3.14 and 3.15):

Theorem.

$$\overline{L}_n \;\cong\; \varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(P_n) \qquad\qquad \overline{M}_{0,n} \;\cong\; \varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n)$$

Our methods also apply to more general Hassett moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ of weighted pointed stable curves of genus zero [Ha03]. In theorem 4.4 we show that any of these Hassett moduli spaces is a limit of GIT quotients over an area in the weight space (considering normalised weights):

Theorem.

$$\overline{M}_{0,a} \;\cong\; \varprojlim_{\theta<a} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n).$$

It is natural to work over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ as the moduli spaces of pointed curves are defined over the integers, and so are moduli spaces of representations of quivers. However, we

have to extend the construction of moduli spaces of representations of quivers in [Ki94] by constructing these moduli spaces over arbitrary base schemes (see theorem 1.8):

Theorem. *For a finite quiver Q with indivisible dimension vector and generic weight θ there is, over an arbitrary base scheme, a fine moduli space $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$ of θ-stable representations.*

To show the isomorphisms between moduli spaces of pointed curves of genus 0 and limits of GIT quotients we consider the GIT quotients for generic weights as fine moduli spaces, which is natural from the quiver perspective, and compare the functor of the limit to the moduli functor of pointed curves via the following steps:
– The functor of the limit associates to a scheme Y the set of collections $(s^\theta)_\theta$ of θ-stable representations over Y for generic θ (i.e. corresponding to full-dimensional GIT equivalence classes) that satisfy certain relations coming from GIT classes of codimension one, see proposition 1.14 and (2.6) at the beginning of subsection 2.4.
– In proposition 2.15 we express the relations between the representations $(s^\theta)_\theta$ in the limit functor by certain equations. Whereas the original relations are local in the weight space, we have equations relating pairs of representations for all generic θ. We can reduce these sets of equations by remark 2.17.
– In the proofs of the result for the individual moduli spaces of pointed curves (theorems 3.14, 3.15 and 4.4) we restrict the collections $(s^\theta)_\theta$ to certain sets of generic weights. Considering representations as $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundles with n sections (see corollary 2.10 and 2.12), these weights θ^i resp. θ^T correspond to sets of three sections, such that a representation is stable with respect to this weight if and only if these three sections are disjoint.
– Normalising the representations with respect to the three sections for each of these weights, the functor of the inverse limit becomes a functor consisting of sections of $\mathbb{P}^1$ that satisfy certain equations. We compare these functors of the limit with the functors of the moduli spaces of pointed curves which arise from a natural closed embedding of the moduli space into a product of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and are described in theorems 3.9, 3.12 and 4.3.

There is the following known relation between moduli spaces of pointed curves of genus 0 and GIT quotients of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. Working over the complex numbers, by [KSZ91] under some assumptions limits of GIT quotients of toric varieties (X, T) by subtori of T are the same as Chow quotients. Toric Chow quotients can be described by fibre polytopes, and in the case of quotients of projective space by secondary polytopes [KSZ91], [GKZ]. The Losev-Manin moduli space $\overline{L}_n$ is the projective toric variety corresponding to the $(n-1)$-dimensional permutohedron [LM00], which arises as secondary polytope of the product of simplices $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$ and as fibre polytope for the operation of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. By the Gelfand-MacPherson correspondence (see [Ka93a]) the GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ by $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ and the GIT quotients of the Grassmannian $G(2,n)$ by the maximal torus $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \subset \mathrm{GL}(n)$, and similarly the Chow quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n /\!\!/ \mathrm{PGL}(2)$ and $G(2,n)/\!\!/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ coincide over the complex numbers. By [Ka93a] the Chow quotients $G(2,n)/\!\!/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ coincide with the Hilbert quotients $G(2,n)/\!\!/\!\!/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ and by [Ka93b] $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is the closure of the space of Veronese curves in the Hilbert scheme or Chow variety. This is used to show that the Chow quotient $G(2,n)/\!\!/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$ is isomorphic to $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, see [Ka93a, (4.1.8)]. Together the

works [Ka93a], [Ka93b], [KSZ91] show that over the complex numbers $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is the limit of GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\!\!/_\theta\,\mathrm{PGL}(2)$.

With the straightforward link between the moduli spaces of representations $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n)$, $\mathcal{M}^\theta(P_n)$ and the GIT quotients $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\!\!/_\theta\,\mathrm{PGL}(2)$, $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\!\!/_\theta\,\mathbb{G}_m$ as described in subsection 2.3 (related to the Gelfand-MacPherson correspondence) we recover the results that over the complex numbers $\overline{L}_n \cong \varprojlim_\theta (\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\!\!/_\theta\,\mathbb{G}_m$ ([KSZ91], [LM00]) and $\overline{M}_{0,n} \cong \varprojlim_\theta (\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\!\!/_\theta\,\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ ([Ka93a]). Our different, more direct methods are valid over arbitrary base schemes and do not involve Chow varieties.

Outline of the paper. In section 1 we construct fine moduli spaces of quiver representations over arbitrary schemes. We show in theorem 1.8 that for a finite quiver Q, an indivisible dimension vector d and a generic weight θ there is a fine moduli space $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$, thus extending [Ki94]. To construct $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ we apply the theory of GIT (see [MFK]) over arbitrary base schemes, using the formulation in terms of stacks in [Al14]. The main point is to show that the natural group operation on the stable locus of the representation space is free and the morphism to the quotient a torsor, see proposition 1.7.

The first step to describe the functors of points of inverse limits of moduli spaces of quiver representations is taken in proposition 1.14 by describing the functor of the limit by the functors of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ for equivalence classes of generic weights θ subject to some relations.

Section 2 contains on the one hand some preparations and miscellaneous material concerning the quivers P_n and Q_n: structure of the weight spaces for the quivers P_n and Q_n (compare to [Ka93a, (1.2),(1.3)], [GKZ, 7.3.C]); relation between Q_{n+2} and P_n, their weights and stable representations (corresponds to the morphism $\overline{M}_{0,n+2} \to \overline{L}_n$ after choice of two of the $n+2$ marked points); the relation of moduli spaces of representations for P_n and Q_n and GIT quotients of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$. The last two points, discussed in subsections 2.2 and 2.3, both derive from different presentations of certain stacks.

On the other hand subsection 2.4 is one of the central parts of this paper where in proposition 2.15 we describe the functor of the limit of moduli spaces of representations for the quivers P_n and Q_n in terms of families of representations $(s^\theta)_\theta$ satisfying certain equations, where the weights θ represent the equivalence classes of generic weights.

In section 3 we consider the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces and Losev-Manin moduli spaces. It is known that the moduli spaces $\overline{L}_n$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ can be embedded into a product of $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the natural morphisms $\overline{L}_n \to \overline{L}_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n} \to \overline{M}_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ (see [BB11], [GHP88]). These closed embeddings have interpretations in terms of root systems of type A. In the case of the Losev-Manin moduli space $\overline{L}_n \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\binom{n}{2}}$ each copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponds to a root subsystem in A_{n-1} isomorphic to A_1, whereas the equations come from the root subsystems isomorphic to A_2, see [BB11]. In the case of the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n} \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\binom{n}{4}}$ each copy of $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponds to a root subsystem in A_{n-1} isomorphic to A_3, whereas the equations come from the root subsystems isomorphic to A_4. This way the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ can also be interpreted as the cross-ratio variety of root systems of type A_3 in A_{n-1}, cf. [Sek94], [Sek96]. We summarise the results on

these embeddings, its interpretation in terms of root systems and the related description of the functor of points of $\overline{L}_n$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ in theorems 3.9 and 3.12.

Proving that there are isomorphisms $\overline{L}_n \cong \varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(P_n)$ (theorem 3.14) and $\overline{M}_{0,n} \cong \varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n)$ (theorem 3.15), we compare the moduli functors of pointed curves with the corresponding functors of the limit. We use the description of proposition 2.15 and simplify it, where the main step consists of restricting the family of representations $(s^\theta)_\theta$ to certain sets of weights of the form θ^i resp. θ^T.

In section 4 we apply the same methods to the Hassett moduli spaces to show that $\overline{M}_{0,a} \cong \varprojlim_{\theta<a} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n)$ (theorem 4.4). Here some additions are necessary to take into account the notion of a-stability of n-pointed curves. On the quiver side this corresponds to restriction to weights in the convex polytope $P(a) = \{\theta \in \Delta(2,n) \mid \theta < a\}$. We also need a description of the moduli functor $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ analogue to that of $\overline{L}_n$ and $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ arising from an embedding into a product of $\mathbb{P}^1$, see theorem 4.3.

Notations and conventions. We work over a base scheme S, usually we think of S as $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$. We use the theory of schemes as developed in [EGA], [EGA1]. We sometimes write $y \in Y$ for a geometric point $y\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to Y$. We use the standard theory of algebraic stacks, see [SP]. We work with algebraic stacks in the fppf topology. Concerning stability of quiver representations we use the sign convention opposite to [Ki94].

1 Moduli spaces of quiver representations

1.1 Moduli of quiver representations over arbitrary schemes

Let Q be a finite connected quiver with set of vertices Q_0 and set of arrows Q_1. For an arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$ we denote $s(\alpha)$ and $t(\alpha)$ the source and target, i.e. $s(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\alpha} t(\alpha)$.

Definition 1.1. *A representation of Q with dimension vector $(d_q)_{q\in Q_0}$ over a scheme Y is a tupel $V = ((V_q)_{q\in Q_0}, (\varphi_\alpha)_{i\in Q_\alpha})$ where V_q is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module of rank d_q and $\varphi_\alpha\colon V_{s(\alpha)} \to V_{t(\alpha)}$ a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules. We have the usual notions of homomorphisms of representations, subrepresentations etc.*

Definition 1.2. *The representation space of Q for the dimension vector $d = (d_q)_{q\in Q_0}$ over the base scheme S is the affine space over S*

$$R(Q,d) = \prod_{\alpha\in Q_1} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus d_{s(\alpha)}}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus d_{t(\alpha)}})$$

where $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_S(\mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus d_{s(\alpha)}}, \mathcal{O}_S^{\oplus d_{t(\alpha)}})$ is the Hom-scheme that represents the contravariant functor on S-schemes $Y \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus d_{s(\alpha)}}, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\oplus d_{t(\alpha)}})$. Equivalently, the scheme $R(Q,d)$ can be defined as the scheme that represents the contravariant functor on S-schemes

$$Y \mapsto \big\{\textit{free representations with fixed basis of } (Q,d) \textit{ over } Y\big\}.$$

On the representation space $R(Q,d)$ the group scheme over S

$$G(d) = \prod_{q\in Q_0} \mathrm{GL}(d_q)$$

operates by $(g_q)_q(\nu_\alpha)_\alpha = (g_{t(\alpha)}\nu_\alpha g_{s(\alpha)}^{-1})_\alpha$ where $(g_q)_q \in G(d)(Y)$, $(\nu_\alpha)_\alpha \in R(Q,d)(Y)$ for some S-scheme Y. The diagonal subgroup $\mathbb{G}_m \cong \Delta \subseteq G(d)$ operates trivially, giving rise to a $\overline{G(d)} = G(d)/\Delta$-operation on $R(Q,d)$.

By [Ki94] the following definition of (semi)stability is equivalent to (semi)stability in the sense of GIT of [MFK] (with $\prod_q \det(g_q)^{-\theta_q}$ the character corresponding to θ).

Definition 1.3. *For a representation V of Q of dimension vector $(d_q)_{q\in Q_0}$ over an algebraically closed field and a weight $\theta = (\theta_q)_{q\in Q_0} \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_0}$ we define:*
(a) *The representation V is θ-semistable if and only if $\sum_{q\in Q_0}\theta_q d_q = 0$ and every subrepresentation $V' \subseteq V$ satisfies $\theta(V') \leq 0$, where $\theta(V') = \sum_{q\in Q_0}\theta_q \dim(V'_q)$.*
(b) *A θ-semistable representation V is θ-stable if and only if the only subrepresentations $V' \subseteq V$ with $\theta(V') = 0$ are V and 0.*

Definition 1.4. *A representation V of Q of dimension vector $(d_q)_{q\in Q_0}$ over a scheme Y is called θ-(semi)stable if for all geometric points $y\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to Y$ the representation $V_y = V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k$ is θ-(semi)stable.*

The functors on S-schemes

$$Y \mapsto \left\{\textit{free } \theta\textit{-(semi)stable representations with fixed basis of } (Q,d) \textit{ over } Y\right\}$$

are represented by open $G(d)$-invariant subschemes $R^\theta(Q,d) \subseteq R(Q,d)$ and $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \subseteq R^\theta(Q,d)$.

In order to construct moduli spaces of representations, following [Ki94] we consider GIT quotients by the (geometrically) reductive group scheme $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ or $\overline{G(d)}$ (see [Al14, Section 9] for some results on (geometrically) reductive group schemes). GIT over arbitrary locally noetherian schemes has been worked out first in [Ses77], here we use the formulation of [Al14] in terms of adequate moduli spaces of Artin stacks.

Let $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d) = R(Q,d)/\!\!/_\theta\, G(d)$ the GIT quotient with respect to $\mathscr{L} = \mathcal{O}_{R(Q,d)}$ with the linearisation determined by the character θ, that is

$$\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d) = \operatorname{Proj}_S \textstyle\bigoplus_{k\geq 0}(p_*(\mathscr{L}^{\otimes k}))^{G(d)}$$

where $p\colon R(Q,d) \to S$ is the structure morphism. We have the quotient morphism

$$R^\theta(Q,d) \to \mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d) \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d).$$

where $\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d) = [R^\theta(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)}]$ is the quotient stack. The next theorem is a special case of [Al14].

Theorem 1.5. [Al14]. *$q\colon \mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ is an adequate moduli space.*

In particular, we have the following properties (see [Al14], cf. also [Ses77]):

(1) The homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)} \to q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d)}$ is an isomorphism.

(2) q is surjective, universally closed and universally submersive.

(3) For an algebraically closed field k the morphism q induces a bijection

$$[\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d)(k)]/\sim \;\to\; \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)(k)$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation on the set of isomorphism classes $[\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d)(k)]$ defined by $x_1 \sim x_2$ if $\overline{\{x_1\}} \cap \overline{\{x_1\}} \neq \emptyset$ in $\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d)_s$ for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d)(k)$ over $s\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to S$.

(4) Assume that S is locally noetherian. Then $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ is of finite type over S and $q_*\mathscr{F}$ is coherent for every coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d)}$-module $\mathscr{F}$.

(5) q is universal for morphisms to schemes, i.e. for an S-scheme Z the map

$$\operatorname{Mor}_S(\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d), Z) \to \operatorname{Mor}_S(\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d), Z), \quad f \mapsto f \circ q$$

is a bijection.

(6) Let $Y \to \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ be a flat morphism of S-schemes. Then $\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d) \times_{\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)} Y \to Y$ is an adequate moduli space.

Statement (5) means that $R^\theta(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ is a categorical quotient. Restricting to the open subscheme of stable points $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \subseteq R^\theta(Q,d)$ there is the following result corresponding to the notion of geometric quotient.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) = [R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)}]$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ the image of $\mathcal{X}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ in $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$. Then $\mathcal{X}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is an adequate moduli space and in addition for algebraically closed fields k the map $[\mathcal{X}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)(k)] \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)(k)$ is a bijection, where $[\mathcal{X}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)(k)]$ denotes the set of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{X}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)(k)$.*

In addition to these standard GIT results, in our situation we have:

Proposition 1.7. *The operation of $\overline{G(d)}$ on $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is free and the morphism $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is a $\overline{G(d)}$-torsor in the fppf topology.*

Proof. We first show that the operation of $\overline{G(d)}$ has trivial stabilisers. For an S-scheme Y the stabiliser $\operatorname{St}(v) \subseteq G(d)_Y$ of an element $v \in R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)(Y)$ contains the diagonal group scheme $\Delta_Y \subseteq G(d)_Y$ and coincides with the automorphism group scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Aut}}_Y(V)$ of the corresponding free representation $V = ((V_q)_q, (\nu_\alpha)_\alpha)$ of (Q,d) over Y. The automorphism group scheme $\underline{\operatorname{Aut}}_Y(V)$ is open dense in the endomorphism scheme $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_Y(V)$. As the $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module $\mathscr{E}nd_Y(V)$ is the kernel of the homomorphism of locally free sheaves $\bigoplus_q \mathscr{E}nd_Y(V_q) \to \bigoplus_\alpha \mathscr{H}om_Y(V_{s(\alpha)}, V_{t(\alpha)})$, $(\varphi_q)_q \mapsto (\nu_\alpha\varphi_{s(\alpha)} - \varphi_{t(\alpha)}\nu_\alpha)$, we have $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_Y(V) = \mathbb{A}_Y(\mathscr{C})$ with $\mathscr{C} = \operatorname{coker}\big(\bigoplus_\alpha \mathscr{H}om_Y(V_{s(\alpha)}, V_{t(\alpha)})^\vee \to \bigoplus_q \mathscr{E}nd_Y(V_q)^\vee\big)$. Since

v is stable, all geometric fibres of $\mathrm{St}(v) = \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_Y(V)$ are of dimension 1 (containing the diagonal group Δ), therefore all geometric fibres of $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_Y(V)$ are 1-dimensional affine spaces, so $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_Y(V)$ is an affine line bundle over Y. It follows that $\mathrm{St}(v) = \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_Y(V) = \Delta_Y$.

We show that the operation is proper, that is $\Psi\colon \overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$, $(g,v) \mapsto (gv,v)$ is a proper morphism, using the valuative criterion [EGA, II, (7.3.8)]. We can assume we have the base scheme $S = \operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$. Let A be a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, field of fractions K and $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$, and let t be a generator of $\mathfrak{m}$. Given a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} A \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ we have to show that the map $\mathrm{Mor}_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)\times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}(\operatorname{Spec} A, \overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)) \to \mathrm{Mor}_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)\times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}(\operatorname{Spec} K, \overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d))$ coming from the canonical homomorphism $A \to K$ is surjective (it is injective because the morphism is separated). The morphism $\operatorname{Spec} A \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ corresponds to a pair of representations $V' = ((V'_q)_q, (\nu'_\alpha)_\alpha)$, $V = ((V_q)_q, (\nu_\alpha)_\alpha)$ of (Q,d) over A and a morphism $\operatorname{Spec} K \to \overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ making the diagram commutative corresponds to a pair (g, V'') such that $V'' = V_K$, $gV_K = V'_K$. The element $g \in \overline{G(d)}(K)$ corresponds to a family of matrices with coefficients in K up to a common factor in K^*, so we can represent it by a family of matrices $(M_q)_q$ with coefficients in A and choose bases of V_q and V'_q such that all M_q are diagonal with diagonal entries of the form t^e. Let l be the minimal integer such that t^l occurs as diagonal entry of some M_q. Considering the matrices for the homomorphisms ν_α, ν'_α with respect to the chosen bases, the (i,j)-entries for the two matrices for a given α differ by a factor $t^e \neq 1$ if the diagonal entries for the corresponding pairs of basis elements differ by such a factor. In this case modulo $\mathfrak{m}$ one of the two (i,j)-entries vanishes. Let $W'_q \subseteq V'_q$ be the submodules generated by those basis elements which correspond to the diagonal entries of the form t^l, and similary $(U_q)_q$ the submodules of $(V_q)_q$ corresponding to the basis elements corresponding to the remaining diagonal entries. Then central fibres of the modules $W'_q \subseteq V'_q$ form a subrepresentation $W'_k \subseteq V'_k$ and the central fibres of the modules $U_q \subseteq V_q$ form a subrepresentation $U_k \subset V_k$. If these were proper nonzero subrepresentations, then, because V_k, V'_k are θ-stable, both subrepresentations would satisfy $\theta(W'_k) < 0$, $\theta(U_k) < 0$, which is impossible. Therefore $W'_k = V'_k$, $U_k = 0$. It follows that the element $g \in \overline{G(d)}(K)$ can be represented by matrices with coefficients in $A \setminus \mathfrak{m}$. These matrices are invertible as matrices over A and form the required element in $\overline{G(d)}(A)$.

Being proper and quasifinite, the morphism $\Psi\colon \overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is finite by [EGA, III (1), (4.4.2)] or [EGA, IV (3), (8.11.1)]. The morphism Ψ is a monomorphism because the operation has trivial stabilisers. As finite epimorphisms of rings are surjective (see [La69, Ch. IV, Prop. 1.7]), it follows that Ψ is a closed embedding, i.e. the operation $\overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is free.

The sheaf-quotient (fppf) $(R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)})_{fppf}$, i.e. the quotient in the category of fppf-sheaves, is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $Y \mapsto R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)(Y)/\overline{G(d)}(Y)$ on the fppf-site of S-schemes (see e.g. [Br12, 3.4.1]). As the operation $\overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is free and a geometric quotient $\pi\colon R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)}$ exists, by [An73, Thm. 6] the canonical morphism $(R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)})_{fppf} \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)}$ is an isomorphism and the morphism π is fppf. Since the sheaf-quotient $(R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)})_{fppf}$ has the property that $\overline{G(d)} \times R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \times_{(R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)})_{fppf}} R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is an isomorphism (see

e.g. [Br12, 3.4.5]), it follows that the morphism of schemes $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)/\overline{G(d)}$ is a $\overline{G(d)}$-torsor in the fppf topology. □

The tautological $G(d)$-equivariant representation $U_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$ on $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ can be made into a $\overline{G(d)}$-equivariant representation if and only if the dimension vector d is indivisible, i.e. the set of integers d_q is coprime, see [Ki94]. In this case by descent along the $\overline{G(d)}$-torsor $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ one obtains a representation $U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$.

Theorem 1.8. *For an indivisible dimension vector $d = (d_q)_{q\in Q_0}$ the scheme $\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ with universal representation $U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$ is a fine moduli space of θ-stable representations of (Q,d), i.e. it represents the contravariant functor on S-schemes*

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \left\{\, \theta\text{-stable representations of } (Q,d) \text{ over } Y \,\right\}/\sim$$

of θ-stable representations up to isomorphism.

Proof. We denote the above functor $F^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ and $q\colon R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ the quotient morphism. We have the morphisms $\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to F^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$, $f \mapsto f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$ and $F^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$, $V \mapsto f_V$, where $f_V = q \circ \tilde{f}_V$ for free V and $\tilde{f}_V\colon Y \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is defined by V and a choice of basis of V, using that $R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ with $U_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$ represents the functor of θ-stable free representations with fixed bases.

To show that the composition $F^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to F^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is the identity, it is enough to show that $f_V^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)} \cong V$ for free representations V. It is $f_V^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)} = (q\circ\tilde{f}_V)^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)} \cong \tilde{f}_V^*U_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)} \cong V$.

To show that the composition $\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to F^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is the identity, it suffices to show that $f = f_{f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}}$ for $f\colon Y \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ such that $f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$ is free. Let $p_Y\colon Y' \to Y$ be an fppf morphism such that the $\overline{G(d)}$-torsor $R_Y = Y_f \times_q R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \to Y$ becomes a trivial torsor $R_{Y'} \to Y'$ after base extension by p_Y. A section $Y' \to R_{Y'}$ gives a morphism $\tilde{f}'\colon Y' \to R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ which satisfies $q\circ\tilde{f}' = f\circ p_Y$. We have $(\tilde{f}')^*U_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)} \cong p_Y^*f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)} \cong p_Y^*(\tilde{f}_{f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}})^*U_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$. Therefore $\tilde{f}'$ coincides with $\tilde{f}_{f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}}\circ p_Y$ up to an element of $\overline{G(d)}$ that arises from comparision of the two bases coming from $U_{R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}$ of these isomorphic representations. Composing with q, it follows $f\circ p_Y = f_{f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}}\circ p_Y$, and thus $f = f_{f^*U_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)}}$ since p_Y is an epimorphism. □

The equivalence relation on isomorphism classes of geometric points of $\mathcal{X}^\theta(Q,d)$ in (3) after theorem 1.5, or equivalently expressed as GIT-equivalence on $R^\theta(Q,d)(k)$ defined by $x_1 \sim x_2$ if $\overline{G(d)_s x_1} \cap \overline{G(d)_s x_2} \neq \emptyset$ in $R^\theta(Q,d)_s$ for $x_1, x_2 \in R^\theta(Q,d)(k)$ over $s\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to S$, is by [Ki94] equivalent to S-equivalence of semistable representations as in the following definition.

Definition 1.9. *Two representations V, V' of Q over an algebraically closed field are called S-equivalent if there are filtrations in the category of θ-semistable representations $0 = V_0 \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq V_l = V$ and $0 = V_0' \subsetneq \ldots \subsetneq V_l' = V'$ with θ-stable quotients such that $\bigoplus_i V_{i+1}/V_i \cong \bigoplus_i V_{i+1}'/V_i'$.*

In particular, θ-stable representations are S-equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic.

Corollary 1.10. *Via the morphism $R^\theta(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ any θ-semistable representation of (Q,d) over an S-scheme Y determines a morphism $Y \to \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ over S. This way for a geometric point $s\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to S$ the geometric points $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)_s(k)$ over s are in bijection with the S-equivalence classes of θ-semistable representations of (Q,d) over k.*

1.2 Decomposition of the weight space and limits of moduli spaces of quiver representations

In the case of GIT quotients of projective varieties X over an algebraically closed field the chamber structure of the space $\mathrm{NS}^G(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\mathrm{NS}^G(X)$ is the Néron-Severi group of G-equivariant line bundles, has been described in [Th96], [DH98], [Re00], and in the case $X = R(Q,d)$ of quivers (without oriented cycles) in [HP02], [Ch08].

Let Q be a finite connected quiver, $d = (d_q)_{q\in Q_0}$ a dimension vector, S be a scheme and $R(Q,d)$ the representation space over S. We have the space $\mathbb{Q}^{Q_0}$ of fractional linearisations of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{R(Q,d)}$, the weight space

$$H(Q,d) = \left\{\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_0} \mid \textstyle\sum_{q\in Q_0} d_q\theta_q = 0\right\}$$

and

$$C(Q,d) = \left\{\theta \in H(Q,d) \mid R^\theta(Q,d) \neq \emptyset\right\}.$$

The decomposition of $H(Q,d)$ as described below is a finite decomposition by walls which are part of the hyperplanes $H_{d'} = \{\sum_q \theta_q d'_q = 0\}$ for the finitely many dimension vectors $0 < d' < d$ such that d is not a multiple of d', where we write $d' \leq d$ if $\forall\, q\colon d'_q \leq d_q$, and $d' < d$ if $d' \leq d$ and $d' \neq d$.

Remark 1.11. Assume we have the base scheme $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, k an algebraically closed field. Assume that there is a $\theta \in H(Q,d)$ such that θ-stable representations exist. We call dimension vectors $0 < d' < d$ such that all representations of dimension vector d which are θ-stable with respect to some $\theta \in H(Q,d)$ have a subrepresentation of dimension vector d' a generic dimension vector. The existence of a subrepresentation of dimension vector d' is a closed condition on $\bigcup_\theta R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ (the operation of $\overline{G(d)}$ on $R_{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d)$ is proper by proposition 1.7). The intersection of the open half-spaces $\{\theta \mid \sum_q \theta_q d'_q > 0\} \subset H(Q,d)$ for generic dimension vectors d' is the set of weights θ, such that θ-stable representations exist. Its closure, the intersection of the closed half-spaces $\{\theta \mid \sum_q \theta_q d'_q \geq 0\}$ for generic d', is the full-dimensional convex polyhedral cone $C(Q,d)$. Subquivers closed under successors give rise to generic dimension vectors. If Q has no oriented cycles, the cone $C(Q,d)$ is strongly convex.

Definition 1.12. *Weights $\theta, \theta' \in H(Q,d)$ are called GIT equivalent if*

$$R^\theta(Q,d) = R^{\theta'}(Q,d).$$

We denote the GIT equivalence class of θ by

$$C_\theta = \{\theta' \in H(Q,d) \mid R^\theta = R^{\theta'}\}$$

and denote

$$\overline{C}_\theta = \{\theta' \in H(Q,d) \mid R^\theta \subseteq R^{\theta'}\}.$$

For the following standard results cf. [Re00], [Ch08].

Proposition 1.13. *Assume $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, k an algebraically closed field. For $\theta \in C(Q,d)$ the set $\overline{C}_\theta$ is a convex polyhedral cone and $\operatorname{relint}(\overline{C}_\theta) = C_\theta$. The cones $\overline{C}_\theta$ for $\theta \in C(Q,d)$ form a finite fan covering $C(Q,d)$.*

Over S the decomposition of $H(Q,d)$ is the refinement of the decompositions of the fibres. Assume that over all geometric points of S stable representations for some θ exist.

A cone $\overline{C}_\theta$ of codimension 1 is contained in hyperplane $H_{d'}$ for a dimension vector $0 < d' < d$ such that d is not a multiple of d'. We call the intersection of such a hyperplane with $C(Q,d)$ a wall. Walls whose intersection with $\operatorname{int} C(Q,d)$ is nonempty are called inner walls, otherwise outer walls. A weight $\theta \in H(Q,d)$ is said to be generic if $R^\theta(Q,d) = R^{\theta\text{-}s}(Q,d) \neq \emptyset$. The GIT equivalence classes of generic weights are open sets called chambers. A weight θ is generic if and only if $\theta \in \operatorname{int} C(Q,d)$ and θ is not contained in an inner wall.

By variation of geometric invariant theory quotients (VGIT), cf. [Th96], [DH98], quiver varieties of nearby weights are related. Let $C_{\theta_0}, C_\theta \subset C(Q,d)$ be GIT equivalence classes such that $C_{\theta_0} \subseteq \overline{C}_\theta$. Then the inclusion $R^\theta(Q,d) \subseteq R^{\theta_0}(Q,d)$ induces a morphism

$$\varphi_{\theta,\theta_0} \colon \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta_0}(Q,d)$$

over S.

The morphisms $\varphi_{\theta,\theta_0} \colon \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d) \to \mathcal{M}^{\theta_0}(Q,d)$ for representatives of the GIT equivalence classes in $C(Q,d)$ form an inverse system and we can consider its inverse limit $\varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$.

Proposition 1.14. *Let Q be a quiver and $(d_q)_{q\in Q_0}$ be an indivisible dimension vector. Assume that over all geometric points of the base scheme S stable representations for some θ exist. Then the functor $\varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ is isomorphic to the closed subfunctor of the product of $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)$ for generic θ*

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ (\varphi_\theta)_\theta \in \prod_{\theta \text{ generic}} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q,d)(Y) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \forall\, \theta, \theta' \text{ generic } \; \forall\, \tau \in \overline{C}_\theta \cap \overline{C}_{\theta'} : \\ \varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_\theta = \varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{\theta'} \end{array} \right\} \tag{1.1}$$

Proof. The limit functor is isomorphic to this functor since each $\tau \in C(Q,d)$ is contained in some $\overline{C}_\theta$ for a generic θ. This functor is a closed subfunctor of the product because $\mathcal{M}^\tau(Q,d)$ is a scheme separated over S (use [EGA1, (5.2.5)]). □

2 Weight space decomposition and moduli spaces for some bipartite quivers

2.1 The quivers P_n, Q_n and the structure of the weight space

We define the quivers P_n and Q_n with fixed dimension vectors (cf. introduction).

Definition 2.1. (a) *Let* $Q_n = (\{p, q_1, \dots, q_n\}, \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\})$ *where* $s(\alpha_i) = q_i$, $t(\alpha_i) = p$ *with dimension vector given by* $d_p = 2$ *and* $d_{q_i} = 1$.
(b) *Let* $P_n = (\{p_1, p_2, q_1, \dots, q_n\}, \{\alpha_{1,1}, \alpha_{1,2}, \dots, \alpha_{n,1}, \alpha_{n,2}\})$ *where* $s(\alpha_{i,j}) = q_i$, $t(\alpha_{i,j}) = p_j$ *with dimension vector* $(1, \dots, 1)$.

For these quivers with dimension vectors we determine the decomposition of the weight space as considered in subsection 1.2. In the case of P_n and Q_n the structure on the weight spaces does not vary over the geometric fibres of a given base scheme S.

In the following when studying the structure of GIT classes in the cone $C(Q) \subset H(Q)$ for $Q = Q_n, P_n$ we restrict to the intersection with a certain affine hyperplane. In the case Q_n this yields the hypersimplex $\Delta(2, n)$, in the case P_n the product of simplices $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$.

Remark 2.2. Decomposition of the weight space for Q_n.
A weight for the quiver Q_n is given by a tuple $\theta = (\eta, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ where $\theta_p = \eta$, $\theta_{q_i} = \theta_i$. The weight space is the n-dimensional hyperplane $H(Q_n) = \{\theta \in \mathbb{Q}^{n+1} \mid 2\eta = -\sum_i \theta_i\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{n+1}$. Omitting the coordinate η we have an isomorphism $H(Q_n) \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$, with basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ and coordinates $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$. A semistable representation exists if and only if $0 \leq \theta_i \leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i$ for all i, this defines a full-dimensional strongly convex polyhedral cone $C(Q_n) \subset H(Q_n)$. The affine hyperplane $\{\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i = 2\}$ intersects this cone in the hypersimplex

$$C(Q_n) \cap \left\{ \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i = 2 \right\} \;=\; \operatorname{conv}\left\{ e_i + e_j \mid i \neq j \right\} \;=\; \Delta(2, n).$$

For $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \Delta(2, n)$ a θ-semistable representation that is not θ-stable exists if and only if θ is contained in one of the following walls. The outer walls are of the form $W_i = \{\theta_i = 0\} \cap \Delta(2, n) = \operatorname{conv}\{e_j + e_k \mid j, k \neq i\} \cong \Delta(2, n-1)$ and $W_{\{\{i\},\{1,\dots,n\}\setminus\{i\}\}} = \{\theta_i = 1\} \cap \Delta(2, n) = \operatorname{conv}\{e_i + e_j \mid j \in \{1 \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}\} \cong \Delta(1, n-1) = \Delta^{n-2}$. The inner walls are

$$W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}} \;=\; \left\{ \theta \in \Delta(2, n) \;\middle|\; \textstyle\sum_{i \in J} \theta_i = 1 \right\} \;=\; \operatorname{conv}\left\{ e_i + e_j \mid i \in J, j \in J^{\complement} \right\}$$

for $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $2 \leq |J| \leq n-2$, $J^{\complement} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus J$. It is $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}} \cong \Delta^{|J|-1} \times \Delta^{n-|J|-1}$.

An inner wall $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}}$ intersects the boundary of $\Delta(2, n)$ as follows: $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}} \cap W_i = \operatorname{conv}\{e_j + e_k \mid j \in J \setminus \{i\}, k \in J^{\complement} \setminus \{i\}\}$ (i.e. a wall $W_{\{J\setminus\{i\},J^{\complement}\setminus\{i\}\}} \subset \Delta(2, \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\})$) and, assuming $i \in J$, $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}} \cap W_{\{\{i\},\{i\}^{\complement}\}} = \operatorname{conv}\{e_i + e_j \mid j \in J^{\complement}\} = \Delta^{|J^{\complement}|-1}$.

Distinct inner walls $W_{\{J_1,J_1^{\complement}\}}, W_{\{J_2,J_2^{\complement}\}} \subset \Delta(2, n)$ do not intersect in the interior of $\Delta(2, n)$ if and only if the two partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into two subsets are compatible in the sense that there is an inclusion between the sets $J_1, J_1^{\complement}$ and $J_2, J_2^{\complement}$. In this case, if we

assume $J_1 \subset J_2$, the intersection $W_{\{J_1,J_1^\complement\}} \cap W_{\{J_2,J_2^\complement\}} = \mathrm{conv}\{e_j + e_k \mid j \in J_1, k \in J_2^\complement\} = \Delta^{|J_1|-1} \times \Delta^{|J_2^\complement|-1}$ is a wall of the face $\Delta(2, J_1 \cup J_2^\complement)$ of $\Delta(2,n)$.

We have the representation space $R(Q_n) = (\mathbb{A}^2)^n$ with operation of $\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$. A representation of Q_n over a scheme Y is a tuple $V = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ of n sections of $\mathbb{A}^2_Y \to Y$. We write $s_i \sim s_j$ if s_i and s_j are in the same $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbit.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $V = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ be a representation of Q_n over an algebraically closed field that is semistable with respect to some weight in the interior of $\Delta(2,n)$, in particular all s_i are nonzero. Let the partition $\{1, \ldots, n\} = \bigsqcup_l J_l$ be defined by $i, j \in J_l$ for some l if and only if $s_i \sim s_j$. The set $\Theta(V) = \{\theta \in \Delta(2,n) \mid V \text{ is } \theta\text{-semistable}\}$ is a closed convex polytope in $\Delta(2,n)$ with the following properties:*

(i) *We have $\Theta(V) = \bigcap_l \{\theta \in \Delta(2,n) \mid \sum_{i \in J_l} \theta_i \leq 1\}$, i.e. $\Theta(V)$ is bounded by the inner walls $W_{\{J_l,J_l^\complement\}}$ for $2 \leq |J_l| \leq n-2$. These walls do not intersect in the interior of $\Delta(2,n)$.*

(ii) *The sets of vertices and edges of $\Theta(V)$ are subsets of the sets of vertices and edges of $\Delta(2,n)$. $\Theta(V) = \mathrm{conv}\{e_i + e_j \mid i \in J_l,\ j \in J_{l'} \text{ for some } l \neq l'\}$.*

(iii) *$\Theta(V)$ is full dimensional if and only if there are ≥ 3 classes J_l, or equivalently, V is stable with respect to some weight. Otherwise there are two classes J and $J^\complement$ and $\Theta(V) = W_{\{J,J^\complement\}}$.*

Proof. Most of the lemma is obvious. For (ii) note that by remark 2.2 all intersections of inner and outer walls that bound $\Theta(V)$ result in a wall of some hypersimplex. □

The polytopes $\Theta(V)$ are the realisable matroid polytopes in the sense of [Ka93a]. $\Theta(V)$ corresponds to the stability set of V denoted $\Omega(V)$ in [Re00].

Considering the quiver P_n we have the representation space $R(P_n) = (\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)^n$ with operation of $(\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n$. We write a representation of P_n over a scheme Y as a tuple $V = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ of sections of $(\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1)_Y \to Y$ where s_i corresponds to $(\alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2})$. We set $s_i \sim s_j$ if s_i and s_j are in the same $\mathbb{G}_m$-orbit and add the sections $s_0 = (0,1)$, $s_\infty = (1,0)$ which are are only used to compare with setions s_i up to $\sim$.

Remark 2.4. Decomposition of the weight space for P_n.
A weight for the quiver P_n is an element $\theta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ where $\theta_{p_i} = \eta_i$, $\theta_{q_i} = \theta_i$. We also write this as $\theta = \eta_1 f_1 + \eta_2 f_2 + \sum_i \theta_i e_i$ with $f_1, f_2, e_1, \ldots, e_n$ a basis of $\mathbb{Q}^{n+2}$. The weight space is the $(n+1)$-dimensional hyperplane $H(P_n) = \{\sum_i \theta_i = -\eta_1 - \eta_2\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{n+2}$. A semistable representation exists if and only if $\eta_1, \eta_2 \leq 0$ and $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \geq 0$. This defines a full-dimensional cone in $C(P_n) \subset H(P_n)$. The affine hyperplane defined by $\eta_1 + \eta_2 = -1$ intersects this cone in a product of simplices

$$C(P_n) \cap \{\eta_1 + \eta_2 = -1\} = \mathrm{conv}\{e_1 - f_1, \ldots, e_n - f_1, e_1 - f_2, \ldots, e_n - f_2\} = \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}.$$

The outer walls are of the form $W_{q_i} = \{\theta_i = 0\} \cap (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}) \cong \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-2}$ and $W_{p_j} = \{\eta_j = 0\} \cap (\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}) \cong \Delta^{n-1}$. The inner walls are

$$W_J = \left\{\theta \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \;\middle|\; \textstyle\sum_{i\in J} \theta_i = -\eta_1\right\} = \operatorname{conv}\left(\{e_i - f_1 \mid i \in J\} \cup \{e_i - f_2 \mid i \in J^{\complement}\}\right)$$

for $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $1 \leq |J| \leq n-1$. It is $W_J \cong \Delta^{n-1}$. Two inner walls W_{J_1}, W_{J_2} do not intersect in the interior of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$ if and only if $J_1 \subsetneq J_2$ or $J_2 \subsetneq J_1$.

Remark 2.5. The above notation implies that for $\tau \in \operatorname{relint}(W_J)$ representations $(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ such that $s_j \sim s_\infty = (1,0)$ for $j \in J$ and $s_i \sim s_0 = (0,1)$ for $i \in J^{\complement}$ are strictly τ-semistable.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $V = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ be a representation of P_n over an algebraically closed field that is semistable with respect to some weight in the interior of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$, in particular all s_i are nonzero. Let $J_0, J_\infty \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be defined by $J_0 = \{i \mid s_i \sim s_0 = (0,1)\}$, $J_\infty = \{i \mid s_i \sim s_\infty = (1,0)\}$. The set $\Theta(V) = \{\theta \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \mid V \text{ is } \theta\text{-semistable}\}$ is a closed convex polytope in $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$ with the following properties:*

(i) $\Theta(V) = \{\theta \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \mid \sum_{i\in J_0} \theta_i \leq -\eta_2\} \cap \{\theta \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \mid \sum_{i\in J_\infty} \theta_i \leq -\eta_1\}$, *i.e.* $\Theta(V)$ *is bounded by the walls $W_{J_0^{\complement}}, W_{J_\infty}$. These walls do not intersect in the interior of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$.*

(ii) *The set of vertices of $\Theta(V)$ is a subset of the set of vertices of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$. It is* $\Theta(V) = \operatorname{conv}\left(\{e_i - f_2 \mid i \in J_0\} \cup \{e_i - f_1 \mid i \in J_\infty\}\right)$.

(iii) $\Theta(V)$ *is full dimensional if and only if $(J_0 \cup J_\infty)^{\complement} \neq \emptyset$, or equivalently, V is stable with respect to some weight. Otherwise $\Theta(V) = W_{J_0^{\complement}} = W_{J_\infty}$.*

2.2 Relation between representations of Q_{n+2} and P_n

We show that the structure of GIT equivalence classes in $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \subset H(P_n)$ arises from the structure of GIT equivalence classes in $\Delta(2, n+2)$ in the neighbourhood of some vertex $e_a + e_b$ and compare the corresponding stacks and moduli spaces of representations.

The subset $\Delta(2, n+2)_{a,b} = \Delta(2, n+2) \cap \{\theta_a + \theta_b \geq \sum_{i\neq a,b} \theta_i\} \setminus \{e_a + e_b\}$ is part of a cone with apex $e_a + e_b$ and we can project it onto $W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}} = \Delta(2, n+2) \cap \{\theta_a + \theta_b = \sum_{i\neq a,b} \theta_i\}$ by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta(2, n+2)_{a,b} & \to & W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}} \\ \theta = (\theta_a, \theta_b, (\theta_i)_{i\neq a,b}) & \mapsto & \bar{\theta} = (\lambda(\theta_a - 1) + 1, \lambda(\theta_b - 1) + 1, (\lambda\theta_i)_{i\neq a,b}) \end{array}$$

where $\lambda = 1/\sum_{i\neq a,b} \theta_i$. The wall $W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}} = \operatorname{conv}(\{e_i + e_a \mid i \neq a, b\} \cup \{e_i + e_b \mid i \neq a, b\}) \subset \Delta(2, n+2)$ can be identified with $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} = \{\eta_1 + \eta_2 = -1\} = \operatorname{conv}(\{e_i - f_2 \mid i \neq a, b\} \cup \{e_i - f_1 \mid i \neq a, b\}) \subset H(P_n)$ by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}} & \to & \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \subset H(P_n) \\ \bar{\theta} = (\bar{\theta}_a, \bar{\theta}_b, (\bar{\theta}_i)_{i\neq a,b}) & \mapsto & \theta' = (\eta'_1 = \bar{\theta}_a - 1, \eta'_2 = \bar{\theta}_b - 1, (\theta'_i = \bar{\theta}_i)_{i\neq a,b}) \end{array}$$

We observe that the projections of the walls of $\Delta(2,n+2)$ near e_a+e_b coincide with the walls of $\Delta^1\times\Delta^{n-1}\subset H(P_n)$. The walls meeting the interior of $\Delta(2,n+2)_{a,b}$ are the walls $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}}$ with $a\in J, b\in J^{\complement}$ or $a\in J^{\complement}, b\in J$. Their intersections with $W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}$ are exactly the inner walls of $\Delta^1\times\Delta^{n-1}$: assume $a\in J, b\in J^{\complement}$, then the equation $\sum_{i\in J}\theta_j=1$ for $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}}$ is equivalent to the equation $\sum_{i\in J\setminus\{a\}}\theta'_i=-\eta'_1$ defining $W_{J\setminus\{a\}}\subset\Delta^1\times\Delta^{n-1}$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $a,b\in\{1,\dots,n+2\}$, $a\neq b$.*
(a) *The maps*

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{representations } V=(s_1,\dots,s_{n+2})\\ \text{of } Q_{n+2} \text{ over } Y \text{ such that}\\ s_a\cap 0=s_b\cap 0=\emptyset, \mathbb{G}_m s_a\cap s_b=\emptyset\end{array}\right\} & \to & \left\{\text{representations of } P_n \text{ over } Y\right\}\\ V=(s_1,\dots,s_{n+2}) & \mapsto & V'=(s'_i)_{i\neq a,b}\end{array}\tag{2.1}$$

for schemes Y over a base scheme S, where s'_i arises from s_i by the base change that transforms s_a to $(1,0)$ and s_b to $(0,1)$, induce an isomorphism of stacks

$$[R(Q_{n+2})_{a,b}/((\mathrm{GL}(2)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]\ \to\ [R(P_n)/((\mathbb{G}_m\times\mathbb{G}_m)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]\tag{2.2}$$

where $R(Q_{n+2})_{a,b}=R(Q_{n+2})\setminus(\{s_a=0\}\cup\{s_b=0\}\cup\{\mathbb{G}_m s_a=\mathbb{G}_m s_b\})$.
(b) *Let $\Delta(2,n+2)_{a,b}=\Delta(2,n+2)\cap\{\theta_a+\theta_b\geq\sum_{i\neq a,b}\theta_i\}\setminus\{e_a+e_b\}$. We have the map*

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\Delta(2,n+2)_{a,b} & \to & \Delta^1\times\Delta^{n-1}\subset H(P_n)\\ \theta & \mapsto & \theta'=(\eta'_1=\lambda(\theta_a-1),\eta'_2=\lambda(\theta_b-1),(\theta'_i=\lambda\theta_i)_{i\neq a,b})\end{array}\tag{2.3}$$

where $\lambda=1/\sum_{i\neq a,b}\theta_i$. Then on the fibres of this map the θ-(semi)stable locus in $R(Q_{n+2})_{a,b}$ does not change.
(c) *Let $\theta\in W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}\subset\Delta(n,n+2)$ and $\theta'\in\Delta^1\times\Delta^{n-1}\subset H(P_n)$ its image under the map* (2.3). *Let $l\in\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that $l\theta$ and $l\theta'$ are integral. Then the equivariant line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{R(Q_{n+2})_{a,b}}$ with $l\theta$-linearisation and $\mathcal{O}_{R(P_n)}$ with $l\theta'$-linearisation define isomorphic line bundles on the quotient stacks under the identification* (2.2).

Proof. (a) The maps on the sets of morphisms are given by composition with the base change maps that transform s_a,s_b to $(1,0),(0,1)$ and forgetting the automorphisms of the spaces corresponding to the vertices q_a,q_b. The inverse functor is given on the objects by maps $(s_i)_{i\neq a,b}\mapsto(s_i)_i$ setting $s_a=(1,0)$, $s_b=(0,1)$ and on the morphisms by taking those automorphisms of the spaces corresponding to the vertices q_a,q_b such that s_a,s_b remain fixed. One checks that both compositions of these two functors are isomorphic to the identity functors.
(b) The fibres are the line segments $[\bar\theta,e_a+e_b]\setminus\{e_a+e_b\}$ for $\bar\theta\in W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}$. All θ contained in this line segment are elements of the same GIT equivalence class except $\bar\theta$. But $R^{\bar\theta}(Q_{n+2})$ differs from $R^{\theta}(Q_{n+2})$ for the other θ only outside $R(Q_{n+2})_{a,b}$.
(c) The isomorphism (2.2) identifies the structure sheaves of the two stacks. We have to verify that the additional multiplications by the corresponding characters coincide. It suffices to consider the groupoids of Y-valued points for S-schemes Y of the given groupoid

schemes. The elements of $((\mathrm{GL}(2)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)(Y)$ fixing $s_a=(1,0)$, $s_b=(0,1)$ are of the form $\Big(\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha_a & 0\\ 0 & \alpha_b\end{smallmatrix}\right),\alpha_a,\alpha_b,(\alpha_i)_{i\neq a,b}\Big)$, the corresponding element $(\beta_1,\beta_2,(\alpha_i)_{i\neq a,b})\in((\mathbb{G}_m\times\mathbb{G}_m)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)(Y)$ satisfies $\beta_1=\alpha_a$, $\beta_2=\alpha_b$. Applying the character corresponding to $l\theta=(l\eta,l\theta_1,\ldots,l\theta_{n+2})$ we obtain $(\alpha_a\alpha_b)^{l\eta}\alpha_a^{l\theta_a}\alpha_b^{l\theta_b}\prod_{i\neq a,b}\alpha_i^{l\theta_i}$ and applying $l\theta'$ we have $\beta_1^{l\eta_1'}\beta_2^{l\eta_2'}\prod_{i\neq a,b}\alpha_i^{l\theta_i'}$. As $\eta=-1$, this coincides for $\theta_i'=\theta_i$, $\eta_1'=\theta_a-1$, $\eta_2'=\theta_b-1$. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let $a,b\in\{1,\ldots,n+2\}$, $a\neq b$.*
(a) *For $\theta\in\Delta(2,n+2)_{a,b}\setminus W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}$ a representation $V=(s_1,\ldots,s_{n+2})$ of Q_{n+2} is θ-(semi)stable if and only if V satisfies $s_a\cap 0=\emptyset$, $s_b\cap 0=\emptyset$, $\mathbb{G}_m s_a\cap s_b=\emptyset$ and its image under (2.1) is a θ'-(semi)stable representation of P_n.*
(b) *The map (2.3) defines a bijection between the GIT equivalence classes in $\Delta^1\times\Delta^{n-1}$ and in $\Delta(2,n+2)_{a,b}\setminus W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}$ (resp. $W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}$).*
(c) *For $\theta\in\Delta(2,n+2)_{a,b}\setminus W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}$ the isomorphism (2.2) induces an isomorphism of stacks*

$$[R^{\theta}(Q_{n+2})/((\mathrm{GL}(2)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]\ \to\ [R^{\theta'}(P_n)/((\mathbb{G}_m\times\mathbb{G}_m)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]$$

and thus an isomorphism of their moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}^{\theta}(Q_{n+2})\ \to\ \mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(P_n).$$

These isomorphisms determine an isomorphism of the inverse systems of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\theta}(Q_{n+2})$ for $\theta\in\Delta(2,n+2)_{a,b}\setminus W_{\{\{a,b\},\{a,b\}^{\complement}\}}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(P_n)$ for $\theta'\in\Delta^1\times\Delta^{n-1}$.

2.3 Moduli spaces for P_n, Q_n and GIT quotients of products of $\mathbb{P}^1$

We compare the moduli spaces of representations of Q_n and P_n to GIT quotients of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ by the quotient groups $((\mathrm{GL}(2)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)/((\mathbb{G}_m\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)\cong\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ and $(((\mathbb{G}_m)^2\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)/((\mathbb{G}_m\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)\cong\mathbb{G}_m$.

We have $\mathrm{NS}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)=\mathrm{Pic}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)\cong\mathbb{Z}^n$, where $(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)\in\mathbb{Z}^n$ corresponds to the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\theta_1)\boxtimes\ldots\boxtimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\theta_n)$. A line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$ with $\theta_i\in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all i has a $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-linearisation ($\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ being the canonical sheaf of $\mathbb{P}^1$), and if an element of $\mathrm{Pic}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ has a $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-linearisation then this linearisation is unique. Thus we can identify $\mathrm{NS}^{\mathrm{PGL}(2)}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}=\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{PGL}(2)}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}\cong\mathbb{Q}^n$ with $H(Q_n)$ by $(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)\leftrightarrow(\eta=-\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\theta_i,\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $R^*(Q_n)=R(Q_n)\setminus\bigcup_i\{s_i=0\}\cong(\mathbb{A}^2\setminus\{0\})^n$.*
(a) *The morphism $R^*(Q_n)\to(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ induces an isomorphism of stacks*

$$[R^*(Q_n)/((\mathrm{GL}(2)\times(\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]\ \to\ [(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\mathrm{PGL}(2)].\tag{2.4}$$

(b) *Let $\theta=(\eta,\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)\in H(Q_n)$ be integral. Then the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$ has a (unique) $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-linearisation and the equivariant line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{R^*(Q_n)}$ with θ-linearisation and $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n)$ define isomorphic line bundles on the quotient stacks under the identification in (2.4).*

Proof. Consider the operation of the subgroup $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \cong (\mathbb{G}_m \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m \subset (\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m$ on $R^*(Q_n) \cong (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^n$. The operation of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is free and $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^1$ a $\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor. This can be shown directly or by applying proposition 1.7 to the quiver $(\{q_1, q_2\}, \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\})$, $s(\alpha_1) = s(\alpha_2) = q_1$, $t(\alpha_1) = t(\alpha_2) = q_2$. The structure sheaf on $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ with $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisation by θ descends to the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\theta)$. Thus $R^*(Q_n) \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is a $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$-torsor and $\mathcal{O}_{R^*(Q_n)}$ with $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$-linearisation by $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ descends to the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$.
(a) A Y-valued point of $[R^*(Q_n)/((\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]$ for some S-scheme Y corresponds to a $(\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor $E \to Y$ with an equivariant morphism $E \to R^*(Q_n)$. Taking quotients by $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \subset (\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m$ we obtain a $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-equivariant morphism $E/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ where $E/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n \to Y$ is a $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-torsor. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E/(\mathbb{G}_m)^n & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \end{array}$$

is cartesian and its vertical arrows are $(\mathbb{G}_m)^n$-torsors. For a Y-valued point of $[(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\operatorname{PGL}(2)]$, a $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-torsor $\overline{E} \to Y$, we construct a $(\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m$-torsor over Y with an equivariant morphism to $(\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^n$ by taking the fibred product $\overline{E} \times_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n} (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^n$. One verifies that this way two functors between $[R^*(Q_n)/((\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]$ and $[(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\operatorname{PGL}(2)]$ are defined whose compositions are isomorphic to the identity functors.
(b) As the categories of sheaves do not change under stackification we may work with the prestack $[R^*(Q_n)/((\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]^{pre}$ of trivial $(\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m$-torsors $E \to Y$ with an equivariant morphism $E \to R^*(Q_n)$, and its image in $[(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\operatorname{PGL}(2)]$ under the isomorphism (2.4). Objects in $[R^*(Q_n)/((\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]^{pre}$ over an S-scheme Y correspond to morphisms $Y \to R^*(Q_n)$, a morphism $(g, y)\colon Y \to (\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m \times R^*(Q_n)$ gives an arrow $y \to gy$ over id_Y.

Let $\mathscr{L}$ be the line bundle on $[R^*(Q_n)/((\mathrm{GL}(2) \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)]^{pre}$ defined by the equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{R^*(Q_n)}$ with θ-linearisation, that is $\mathscr{L}(y) = \Gamma(Y, y^*\mathcal{O}_{R^*(Q_n)})$ for $y\colon Y \to R^*(Q_n)$. We describe the push-forward $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ with respect to the isomorphism (2.4), which by definition is given by $\overline{\mathscr{L}}(\overline{x}) = \varprojlim_{\overline{y} \to \overline{x}} \mathscr{L}(y)$ where $\overline{y}$ is the image of the object y under (2.4). For open embeddings $\overline{x}\colon \overline{U} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ and $y\colon U \hookrightarrow R^*(Q_n)$ where $U \subseteq R^*(Q_n)$ is the preimage of $\overline{U} \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ we have $\overline{\mathscr{L}}(\overline{x}) = \varprojlim_{\overline{y'} \to \overline{x}} \mathscr{L}(y') = \varprojlim_{h \in (\mathbb{G}_m)^n(U), \overline{hy} \to \overline{x}} \mathscr{L}(hy) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_{R^*(Q_n)})^{(\mathbb{G}_m)^n} = \Gamma(\overline{U}, \mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n))$. Further we have the natural restriction maps. Thus the line bundle $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ comes from the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ with some $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$-linearisation. □

Corollary 2.10. (a) *We have an identification* $\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathrm{PGL}(2)}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n) \cong H(Q_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ *by* $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \leftrightarrow (\eta = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_i \theta_i, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$.
(b) *For* $(\eta, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \in H(Q_n)$ *such that* $\theta_i > 0$ *for all* i *a representation* $V = (s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ *of* Q_n *is* $(\eta, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$*-(semi)stable if and only if* $s_i \cap 0 = \emptyset$ *for all* i *and its image under* (2.4) *is an* $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$*-(semi)stable section of* $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$.
(c) *The image of* $\operatorname{int} C(Q_n) \subset H(Q_n)$ *under the isomorphism* $\operatorname{Pic}^{\mathrm{PGL}(2)}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H(Q_n)$

is the PGL(2)*-ample cone in* $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{PGL}(2)}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. *The GIT classes in these open cones coincide.*
(d) *For* $\theta \in H(Q_n) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathrm{PGL}(2)}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *such that* $\theta_i > 0$ *for all* i *the isomorphism* (2.4) *induces isomorphisms of the stacks of* θ*-(semi)stable points, of their moduli spaces and their inverse systems.*

One can also compare these stacks with $[G(2,n)/((\mathbb{G}_m)^n/\mathbb{G}_m)]$, cf. [Ka93a, 2.4].

Similarly, one can show the analogues results for the quiver P_n. In the case of the quiver P_n we have $\mathrm{NS}^{\mathbb{G}_m}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n) = \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{G}_m}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, where $(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ defines an element $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n) \in \mathrm{Pic}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ and for each $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ the set of $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisations is a principal homogeneous space under the character group $\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathbb{G}_m$. Below we identify $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{G}_m}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)$ with $H(P_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$, where $(\eta_1,\eta_2,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n) \in H(P_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+2}$ corresponds to the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ with a certain $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisation, and the $\mathbb{G}_m$-linearisations on $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ corresponding to $(\eta_1,\eta_2,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ and $(\eta_1',\eta_2',\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ differ by the character $(\eta,-\eta) = (\eta_1-\eta_1',\eta_2-\eta_2')$ of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^2/\mathbb{G}_m \cong \mathbb{G}^m$.

Proposition 2.11. *Let* $R^*(P_n) = R(P_n) \setminus \bigcup_i \{s_i = 0\} \cong (\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0\})^n$.
(a) *The morphism* $R^*(P_n) \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ *induces an isomorphism of stacks*

$$[R^*(P_n)/(((\mathbb{G}_m)^2 \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m)] \;\to\; [(\mathbb{P}^1)^n/\mathbb{G}_m]. \tag{2.5}$$

(b) *Let* $(\eta_1,\eta_2,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n) \in H(P_n)$ *be integral. Then the line bundle* $\mathcal{O}_{R^*(P_n)}$ *with* $((\mathbb{G}_m)^2 \times (\mathbb{G}_m)^n)/\mathbb{G}_m$*-linearisation given by* $(\eta_1,\eta_2,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ *descends to the line bundle* $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ *with a certain* $\mathbb{G}_m$*-linearisation, and both define isomorphic line bundles on the quotient stacks under the identification in* (2.5).

Corollary 2.12. (a) *For* $\theta = (\eta_1,\eta_2,\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n) \in H(P_n)$ *such that* $\theta_i > 0$ *for all* i *a representation* $V = (s_1,\dots,s_n)$ *of* P_n *is* θ*-(semi)stable if and only if* $s_i \cap 0 = \emptyset$ *for all* i *and its image under* (2.4) *is a (semi)stable section of* $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ *with respect to* $\mathcal{O}_{(\mathbb{P}^1)^n}(\theta_1,\dots,\theta_n)$ *with the corresponding* $\mathbb{G}_m$*-linearisation.*
(b) *The image of* $\operatorname{int} C(P_n) \subset H(P_n)$ *under the isomorphism* $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{G}_m}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong H(P_n)$ *is the* $\mathbb{G}_m$*-ample cone in* $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{G}_m}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. *The GIT classes in these open cones coincide.*
(c) *For* $\theta \in H(P_n) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathbb{G}_m}((\mathbb{P}^1)^n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *such that* $\theta_i > 0$ *for all* i *the isomorphism* (2.4) *induces isomorphisms of the stacks of* θ*-(semi)stable points, of their moduli spaces and their inverse systems.*

In the following, when considering representations $V = (s_1,\dots,s_n)$ of Q_n and P_n such that $s_i \cap 0 = \emptyset$ up to isomorphism, we will often write them as tupels $(\overline{s}_1,\dots,\overline{s}_n)$ of sections of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$.

2.4 The functor of inverse limits of quiver varieties for P_n and Q_n

Let $Q = Q_n$ or $Q = P_n$. Because all chambers are connected via the relative interiors of GIT equivalence classes of codimension 1, we can rewrite the contravariant functor on

S-schemes (1.1) in proposition 1.14 as

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ (\varphi_{s^\theta})_\theta \in \prod_{\theta \text{ generic}} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)(Y) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \forall\, \theta, \theta' \textit{ generic } \forall\, \overline{C}_\tau \subset \operatorname{int} C(Q) \textit{ GIT} \\ \textit{equiv. class of codimension 1 such that} \\ \overline{C}_\tau \subseteq \overline{C}_\theta \cap \overline{C}_{\theta'} \colon\ \varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^\theta} = \varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta'}} \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\varphi_{s^\theta} \colon Y \to \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$ is the morphism corresponding to a θ-stable representation s^θ over Y.

For a representation s of Q over an S-scheme Y stable with respect to some generic θ the polytopes $\Theta(s(y))$ for the fibres $s(y)$ over the geometric points y of Y defined in subsection 2.1 have the property that $\{y' \mid \Theta(s(y)) \subseteq \Theta(s(y'))\}$ are the points of an open subscheme of Y. Therefore for two representations s, s' we have an open subscheme

$$U(s,s') \;=\; \left\{\, y \;\middle|\; \operatorname{int}\big(\Theta(s(y)) \cap \Theta(s'(y))\big) \neq \emptyset \,\right\} \;\subseteq\; Y.$$

If $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ are part of a family over Y satisfying the conditions in (2.6), then

$$U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'}) \;=\; \left\{\, y \;\middle|\; s^\theta(y) \text{ } \theta'\textit{-stable} \,\right\} \;=\; \left\{\, y \;\middle|\; s^{\theta'}(y) \text{ } \theta\textit{-stable} \,\right\}$$

and $s^\theta|_{U(s^\theta,s^{\theta'})} \cong s^{\theta'}|_{U(s^\theta,s^{\theta'})}$ by the conditions coming from the walls meeting the interior of the polytopes $\Theta(s^\theta(y))$, $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$.

To study the conditions coming from walls that separate polytopes $\Theta(s(y))$ we consider the schemes of representations of Q for weights in a GIT equivalence class C_τ of codimension 1 and adjacent chambers $C_\theta, C_{\theta'}$. For $Q = Q_n$ $C_\tau \cap \Delta(2,n)$ is contained in a wall of the form $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}}$ for some $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$, $2 \leq |J| \leq n-2$. For $Q = P_n$ $C_\tau \cap \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$ is contained in a wall of the form $W = W_J$ for some $J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$, $1 \leq |J| \leq n-1$. In case $Q = Q_n$ let $i \in J^{\complement}$, $j \in J$, in case $Q = P_n$ let $i = 0, j = \infty$. We consider representations of Q over Y as tupels of sections $(s_1,\dots,s_n)$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$. Let $Z_\tau \subset R^\tau(Q)$ be the closed subscheme of strictly τ-semistable points. Its geometric fibres over S consist of three orbits: the orbit such that both conditions $s_l = s_j \Leftrightarrow l \in J$ and $s_k = s_i \Leftrightarrow k \in J^{\complement}$ are satisfied, and the two orbits $Z_{\tau,J}, Z_{\tau,J^{\complement}}$ where only the first resp. the second condition is satisfied. The representations corresponding to geometric points of Z_τ are all S-equivalent. In case $Q = Q_n$ assume $\sum_{j\in J} \theta_j > 1$, then $\sum_{i\in J^{\complement}} \theta'_i > 1$ and $Z_{\tau,J} = Z_\tau \cap R^{\theta'}(Q)$, $Z_{\tau,J^{\complement}} = Z_\tau \cap R^\theta(Q)$. The image of $Z_{\tau,J}$ forms a projective space $\mathbb{P}_S^{|J^{\complement}|-2} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(Q)$, the image of $Z_{\tau,J^{\complement}}$ forms a projective space $\mathbb{P}_S^{|J|-2} \subset \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$ and the image of Z_τ forms a subscheme $Z^\tau \subset \mathcal{M}^\tau(Q)$ isomorphic to the base scheme S. The morphisms $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q) \to \mathcal{M}^\tau(Q) \leftarrow \mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(Q)$ restrict to morphisms $\mathbb{P}_S^{|J|-2} \to Z^\tau \leftarrow \mathbb{P}_S^{|J^{\complement}|-2}$ and are isomorphisms elsewhere. The case $Q = P_n$ is similar with projective spaces $\mathbb{P}_S^{|J|-1} \subset \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$, $\mathbb{P}_S^{|J^{\complement}|-1} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(Q)$.

Coordinate functions on $R(Q)$ are given by the tautological family $s = (s_1,\dots,s_n)$ on $R(Q)$. In case $Q = Q_n$ for $i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ over the invariant open subscheme $\{y \mid s_i(y) \neq s_j(y)\}$ there is the section $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} s_{i,1} & -s_{i,0} \\ -s_{j,1} & s_{j,0} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ in $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ that transforms the tautological family s, considered as a family of sections of $\mathbb{P}^1_{R(Q)}$, to the family $\tilde{s}$ with $\tilde{s}_i = (0:1), \tilde{s}_j = (1:0)$.

In case $Q = P_n$ we have the additional sections $s_i = s_0 = (0:1)$, $s_j = s_\infty = (1:0)$ and we set $\tilde{s} = s$. The invariant open subscheme

$$U_\tau = \left\{ y \,\middle|\, s_i(y) \neq s_j(y),\ \forall\, k \in J^{\complement}\colon s_k(y) \neq s_j(y),\ \forall\, l \in J\colon s_l(y) \neq s_i(y) \right\} \subset R^\tau(Q)$$

contains Z_τ. The algebra of invariant functions on U_τ corresponds to the algebra of torus invariant functions in the $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra generated by $\frac{\tilde{s}_{l,1}}{\tilde{s}_{l,0}}$ for $l \in J$ and $\frac{\tilde{s}_{k,0}}{\tilde{s}_{k,1}}$ for $k \in J^{\complement}$. This $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra of torus invariants is generated by $f^{i,j}_{k,l} = \frac{\tilde{s}_{k,0}\tilde{s}_{l,1}}{\tilde{s}_{k,1}\tilde{s}_{l,0}}$ for $l \in J$, $k \in J^{\complement}$. The function $f^{i,j}_{k,l}$ can be written in terms of s as $f^{i,j}_{k,l} = \frac{(s_{j,0}s_{l,1}-s_{j,1}s_{l,0})(s_{i,1}s_{k,0}-s_{i,0}s_{k,1})}{(s_{i,1}s_{l,0}-s_{i,0}s_{l,1})(s_{j,0}s_{k,1}-s_{j,1}s_{k,0})}$. These invariant functions are sometimes called the cross-ratios of four sections. Since invariant regular functions on the representation space correspond to regular functions on the moduli spaces we obtain the following result.

Lemma 2.13. (a) *The invariant regular functions $f^{i,j}_{k,l}$ on U_τ define regular functions on the image of U_τ resp. $U_\tau \cap R^\theta(Q)$, $U_\tau \cap R^{\theta'}(Q)$ in $\mathcal{M}^\tau(Q)$, $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)$, $\mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(Q)$ which we also denote $f^{i,j}_{k,l}$. These satisfy $\varphi^*_{\theta,\tau}(f^{i,j}_{k,l}) = f^{i,j}_{k,l}$, $\varphi^*_{\theta',\tau}(f^{i,j}_{k,l}) = f^{i,j}_{k,l}$.*
(b) *For $z \in Z^\tau$ the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^\tau(Q),z}$ is the localisation of an $\mathcal{O}_S$-algebra generated by the functions $f^{i,j}_{k,l}$ for $l \in J$, $k \in J^{\complement}$.*

We express the condition in (2.6) for a family $(s^\theta)_\theta$ in terms of equations after fixing i, j such that s^θ_i, s^θ_j and $s^{\theta'}_i, s^{\theta'}_j$ are disjoint in a neighbourhood of some $y \in Y$.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ be representations of $Q = Q_n$ or $Q = P_n$ over an S-scheme Y stable with respect to generic θ, θ'. Assume $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ are part of a collection as in* (2.6)*. We consider $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ as tupels of sections $(s^\theta_i)_i = (s^\theta_{i,0} : s^\theta_{i,1})_i$, $(s^{\theta'}_i)_i = (s^{\theta'}_{i,0} : s^{\theta'}_{i,1})_i$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$, in case $Q = P_n$ we add the sections $s^\theta_0, s^{\theta'}_0 = (0:1)$, $s^\theta_\infty, s^{\theta'}_\infty = (1:0)$. In case $Q = Q_n$ let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, in case $Q = P_n$ let $i = 0, j = \infty$. Let $U_{i,j} = \{y \mid s^\theta_i(y) \neq s^\theta_j(y), s^{\theta'}_i(y) \neq s^{\theta'}_j(y)\} \subseteq Y$. We choose homogeneous coordinates x_0, x_1 and x'_0, x'_1 of $\mathbb{P}^1_{U_{i,j}}$ such that $s^\theta_i = (0:1), s^\theta_j = (1:0)$ with respect to x_0, x_1 and $s^{\theta'}_i = (0:1), s^{\theta'}_j = (1:0)$ with respect to x'_0, x'_1. Then s^θ and $s^{\theta'}$ are over $U_{i,j}$ related by the equations*

$$s^\theta_{k,0}s^\theta_{l,1}s^{\theta'}_{k,1}s^{\theta'}_{l,0} = s^\theta_{k,1}s^\theta_{l,0}s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta'}_{l,1} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Over $U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})$ the equations hold because $s^\theta|_{U(s^\theta,s^{\theta'})} \cong s^{\theta'}|_{U(s^\theta,s^{\theta'})}$.

Consider the local situation around a point $y \in U_{i,j} \setminus U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})$. Assume first that the polytopes $\Theta(s^\theta(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ meet in an inner wall W. In case $Q = P_n$ let $W = W_J$ and we can assume that $s^\theta_h(y) = s^\theta_j(y) = s^\theta_\infty(y) = (1:0)$ for $h \in J$ and $s^{\theta'}_h(y) = s^{\theta'}_i(y) = s^{\theta'}_0(y) = (0:1)$ for $h \in J^{\complement}$. In case $Q = Q_n$ let $W = W_{\{J, J^{\complement}\}}$ and we can assume $j \in J$, $i \in J^{\complement}$ and $s^\theta_h(y) = s^\theta_j(y) = (1:0)$ for $h \in J$, $s^{\theta'}_h(y) = s^{\theta'}_i(y) = (0:1)$ for $h \in J^{\complement}$. For k, l there are the cases: $k, l \in J$ (similar: $k, l \in J^{\complement}$) or $k \in J, l \in J^{\complement}$ (similar: $k \in J^{\complement}, l \in J$).

Case $k \in J^{\complement}, l \in J$: We have $s^{\theta}_{l,0}(y), s^{\theta'}_{l,0}(y) \neq 0$ and $s^{\theta}_{k,1}(y), s^{\theta'}_{k,1}(y) \neq 0$. In a neighbourhood of y equation (2.7) is equivalent to the equation $f^{\theta,i,j}_{k,l} = f^{\theta',i,j}_{k,l}$ with $f^{\theta,i,j}_{k,l} = \frac{s^{\theta}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}}{s^{\theta}_{k,1}s^{\theta}_{l,0}}$, $f^{\theta',i,j}_{k,l} = \frac{s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta'}_{l,1}}{s^{\theta'}_{k,1}s^{\theta'}_{l,0}}$. The regular functions $f^{\theta,i,j}_{k,l}$ resp. $f^{\theta',i,j}_{k,l}$ are pullbacks of the regular functions $f^{i,j}_{k,l}$ on $\mathcal{M}^{\theta}(Q)$ resp. $\mathcal{M}^{\theta'}(Q)$ via $\varphi_{s^{\theta}}$ resp. $\varphi_{s^{\theta'}}$. Because $\varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta}} = \varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta'}}$ and using lemma 2.13.(a) it follows $f^{\theta,i,j}_{k,l} = f^{\theta',i,j}_{k,l}$.

Case $k, l \in J$: We have $s^{\theta}_{k,0}(y), s^{\theta'}_{k,0}(y) \neq 0$ and $s^{\theta}_{l,0}(y), s^{\theta'}_{l,0}(y) \neq 0$. In a neighbourhood of y equation (2.7) is equivalent to the equation $\frac{s^{\theta}_{l,1}}{s^{\theta}_{l,0}}\frac{s^{\theta'}_{k,1}}{s^{\theta'}_{k,0}} = \frac{s^{\theta}_{k,1}}{s^{\theta}_{k,0}}\frac{s^{\theta'}_{l,1}}{s^{\theta'}_{l,0}}$. Multiplying with $\frac{s^{\theta}_{g,0}s^{\theta'}_{h,0}}{s^{\theta}_{g,1}s^{\theta'}_{h,1}}$ for $g \in J^{\complement}$ such that $s^{\theta}_{g}(y) \neq (0:1)$ and $h \in J$ such that $s^{\theta'}_{h}(y) \neq (1:0)$, we obtain the equivalent equation $f^{\theta,i,j}_{g,l} f^{\theta',i,j}_{h,k} = f^{\theta,i,j}_{g,k} f^{\theta',i,j}_{h,l}$. This equation holds because by the first case we have $f^{\theta,i,j}_{g,l} = f^{\theta',i,j}_{g,l}$, $f^{\theta,i,j}_{g,k} = f^{\theta',i,j}_{g,k}$.

This shows that equations (2.7) hold for $s^{\theta}, s^{\theta'}$ in a neighbourhood of y if the polytopes $\Theta(s^{\theta}(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ have overlapping interiors or meet in an inner wall.

To show the general case we show that if the union of the walls separating $\Theta(s^{\theta}(y))$, $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y)), \Theta(s^{\theta''}(y))$ are exactly the walls that separate $\Theta(s^{\theta}(y)), \Theta(s^{\theta''}(y))$ and if $s^{\theta}, s^{\theta'}$ and $s^{\theta'}, s^{\theta''}$ in a neighbourhood of y are related by equations of the form (2.7), then so are $s^{\theta}, s^{\theta''}$. Assume that $\Theta(s^{\theta}(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ are separated by an inner wall W_J resp. $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}}$ and that $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta''}(y))$ are separated by an inner wall $W_{J'}$ resp. $W_{\{J',(J')^{\complement}\}}$ such that $J \subset J'$, these walls are part of the boundary of $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ and both walls separate $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta''}(y))$. If $s^{\theta}_i(y) \neq s^{\theta}_j(y)$, $s^{\theta''}_i(y) \neq s^{\theta''}_j(y)$ then either $j \in J^{\complement}$, $i \in J'$ or $j \in J$, $i \in (J')^{\complement}$ and thus $s^{\theta'}_i(y) \neq s^{\theta'}_j(y)$. We assume $j \in J$, $i \in (J')^{\complement}$.

Case $k \in J', l \in J^{\complement}$. It is $s^{\theta'}_k(y) \neq (0:1)$, $s^{\theta'}_l(y) \neq (1:0)$ and in a neighbourhood of y we have $s^{\theta}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}s^{\theta''}_{k,1}s^{\theta''}_{l,0}s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta'}_{l,1} = s^{\theta}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}s^{\theta'}_{k,1}s^{\theta'}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta''}_{l,1} = s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta'}_{l,1}s^{\theta}_{k,1}s^{\theta}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta''}_{l,1}$, thus $s^{\theta}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}s^{\theta''}_{k,1}s^{\theta''}_{l,0} = s^{\theta}_{k,1}s^{\theta}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta''}_{l,1}$.

Case $k \in J', l \in J$ (similar: $k \in (J')^{\complement}, l \in J^{\complement}$). Choose $h \in J^{\complement} \cap J'$. Then $s^{\theta'}_k(y), s^{\theta'}_l(y) \neq (0:1)$ and $s^{\theta}_h(y) = (0:1), s^{\theta''}_h(y) = (1:0)$, $s^{\theta'}_h(y) \neq (1:0), (0:1)$. In a neighbourhood of y we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&s^{\theta}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}s^{\theta''}_{k,1}s^{\theta''}_{l,0}s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta'}_{l,0}s^{\theta'}_{h,1}s^{\theta}_{h,1}s^{\theta''}_{h,0} = s^{\theta}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}s^{\theta'}_{k,1}s^{\theta''}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta'}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{h,1}s^{\theta}_{h,1}s^{\theta'}_{h,0}\\
&= s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}s^{\theta}_{k,1}s^{\theta''}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,0}s^{\theta'}_{h,1}s^{\theta}_{h,1}s^{\theta}_{h,0} = s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta'}_{l,1}s^{\theta}_{k,1}s^{\theta''}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{h,1}s^{\theta'}_{h,1}s^{\theta'}_{h,0}\\
&= s^{\theta'}_{k,0}s^{\theta''}_{l,1}s^{\theta}_{k,1}s^{\theta'}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,0}s^{\theta'}_{h,1}s^{\theta}_{h,1}s^{\theta''}_{h,0}
\end{aligned}$$

thus $s^{\theta}_{k,0}s^{\theta}_{l,1}s^{\theta''}_{k,1}s^{\theta''}_{l,0} = s^{\theta}_{k,1}s^{\theta}_{l,0}s^{\theta''}_{k,0}s^{\theta''}_{l,1}$. □

Proposition 2.15. *For $Q = Q_n$ or $Q = P_n$ the functor of the inverse limit of moduli spaces of representations is isomorphic to the functor* $\mathrm{Lim}(Q)$ *defined by*

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ (\varphi_{s^{\theta}})_{\theta} \in \prod_{\theta \text{ generic}} \mathcal{M}^{\theta}(Q)(Y) \;\middle|\; \textit{equations (2.7) hold} \right\} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. By lemma 2.14 for a family $(s^\theta)_\theta$ satisfying the conditions of (2.6) the equations (2.7) hold. We show the opposite implication.

Let $(s^\theta)_\theta$ be a family of representations over an S-scheme Y as in (2.8). Let $y \in Y$. Let $\overline{C}_\tau$ be an equivalence class of codimension 1 in the interior and θ, θ' generic such that $\overline{C}_\tau = \overline{C}_\theta \cap \overline{C}_{\theta'}$. In case $Q = Q_n$ let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_i^\theta(y) \neq s_j^\theta(y)$ and $s_i^{\theta'}(y) \neq s_j^{\theta'}(y)$ and choose coordinates such that $s_i^\theta, s_i^{\theta'} = (0:1)$ and $s_j^\theta, s_j^{\theta'} = (1:0)$ in a neighbourhood of y. In case $Q = P_n$ let $i = 0, j = \infty$, then $s_i^\theta = s_0^\theta = (0:1), s_j^\theta = s_\infty^\theta = (1:0)$ and the same for θ'.

If there exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_k^\theta(y) \neq (0:1), (1:0)$, $s_k^{\theta'}(y) \neq (0:1), (1:0)$ then the equations $s_{k,0}^\theta s_{l,1}^\theta s_{k,1}^{\theta'} s_{l,0}^{\theta'} = s_{k,1}^\theta s_{l,0}^\theta s_{k,0}^{\theta'} s_{l,1}^{\theta'}$ show that $s^\theta \cong s^{\theta'}$ and thus $\varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^\theta} = \varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta'}}$ in a neighbourhood of y.

Otherwise there is $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, where we can assume that $j \in J$, $i \in J^\complement$, such that $\forall\, k \in J^\complement\colon s_k^\theta(y) = (0:1), s_k^{\theta'}(y) \neq (1:0)$ and $\forall\, l \in J\colon s_l^\theta(y) \neq (0:1), s_l^{\theta'}(y) = (1:0)$. Let $x \in S$ be the image of $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z^\tau$ the point over x, then $\varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^\theta}(y) = z = \varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta'}}(y)$. As equations (2.7) hold the functions $f_{k,l}^{\theta,i,j} = \frac{s_{k,0}^\theta s_{l,1}^\theta}{s_{k,1}^\theta s_{l,0}^\theta}$ and $f_{k,l}^{\theta',i,j} = \frac{s_{k,0}^{\theta'} s_{l,1}^{\theta'}}{s_{k,1}^{\theta'} s_{l,0}^{\theta'}}$ coincide. We may consider these functions as elements of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Using lemma 2.13, these are the pull-back of the functions $f_{k,l}^{i,j}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^\tau(Q),z}$ under $\varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^\theta}$ resp. $\varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta'}}$, and it follows that the homomorphisms of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}^\tau(Q),z} \to \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ agree.

Since $\varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^\theta}$ and $\varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta'}}$ coincide as maps of sets of points and in each point the induced homomorphisms of local rings coincide, it follows $\varphi_{\theta,\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^\theta} = \varphi_{\theta',\tau} \circ \varphi_{s^{\theta'}}$. □

Let $(s^\theta)_\theta$ be a family of representations over Y as in (2.6). For $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ we have the natural structure of a closed subscheme $Z(s^\theta, s^{\theta'}) \subseteq Y$ supported on the closed subset $Y \setminus U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})$. Under the assumptions and notations of lemma 2.14 let $y \in U_{i,j}$ and assume $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $s_k^\theta \neq (0:1), (1:0)$, $s_k^{\theta'} \neq (0:1)$ and $s_l^{\theta'} \neq (0:1), (1:0)$, $s_l^\theta \neq (1:0)$. Because equations (2.7) hold in a neighbourhood of y the scheme defined by the equation $s_k^{\theta'} = (1:0)$ coincides with the scheme defined by the equation $s_l^\theta = (0:1)$ and does not depend on the choice of k, l. The scheme $Z(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})$ can be defined in a neighbourhood of y by each of these equations.

The following proposition gives a geometric interpretation of the condition in (2.8) that two representations are related by equations (2.7), and indicates the relation between inverse limits of moduli spaces of representations for the quivers P_n, Q_n and moduli spaces of chains and trees of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with marked points.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ be representations of $Q = Q_n$ or $Q = P_n$ over an S-scheme Y stable with respect to generic θ, θ'. Assume $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ are related by equations (2.7). We consider $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ as tupels of sections $(s_i^\theta)_i = (s_{i,0}^\theta : s_{i,1}^\theta)_i$, $(s_i^{\theta'})_i = (s_{i,0}^{\theta'} : s_{i,1}^{\theta'})_i$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$, in case $Q = P_n$ we add the sections $s_0^\theta, s_0^{\theta'} = (0:1)$, $s_\infty^\theta, s_\infty^{\theta'} = (1:0)$. In case $Q = Q_n$ for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$ let $U_{i,j} = \{y \mid s_i^\theta(y) \neq s_j^\theta(y), s_i^{\theta'}(y) \neq s_j^{\theta'}(y)\} \subseteq Y$. In case $Q = P_n$ let $i = 0, j = \infty$ and $U_{i,j} = Y$. We choose homogeneous coordinates x_0^θ, x_1^θ and $x_0^{\theta'}, x_1^{\theta'}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_{U_{i,j}}$ such that $s_i^\theta = (0:1), s_j^\theta = (1:0)$ with respect to x_0^θ, x_1^θ and $s_i^{\theta'} = (0:1), s_j^{\theta'} = (1:0)$*

with respect to $x_0^{\theta'}, x_1^{\theta'}$. *Consider the equations*

$$s_{k,0}^{\theta} s_{k,1}^{\theta'} x_1^{\theta} x_0^{\theta'} = s_{k,1}^{\theta} s_{k,0}^{\theta'} x_0^{\theta} x_1^{\theta'} \tag{2.9}$$

over $U_{i,j}$.
(a) *Let* $C_{i,j} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^2_{U_{i,j}}$ *be the closed subscheme defined by the equations* (2.9) *for all* k. *For given* k *let*

$$U_{i,j,k} \;=\; \left\{\, y \;\middle|\; s_i^{\theta}(y), s_j^{\theta}(y), s_k^{\theta}(y) \text{ distinct} \;\text{ or }\; s_i^{\theta'}(y), s_j^{\theta'}(y), s_k^{\theta'}(y) \text{ distinct} \right\} \;\subseteq\; Y.$$

Then over $U_{i,j,k}$ *the subscheme* $C_{i,j,k} = C_{i,j} \times_{U_{i,j}} U_{i,j,k} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^2_{U_{i,j,k}}$ *is given by the single equation* (2.9) *for this* k.
(b) *The curves* $C_{i,j} \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^2_{U_{i,j}}$ *glue (with the appropriate coordinate changes) to a reduced curve* $C \subset (\mathbb{P}^1)^2_Y$ *flat over* Y, *which contains all pairs of sections* $(s_l^{\theta}, s_l^{\theta'})$, *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{P}^1_{U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})}$ *over* $U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'}) \subseteq Y$ *via its two projections, and degenerates exactly over the subscheme* $Z(s^\theta, s^{\theta'}) \subseteq Y$ *to a chain of two* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *intersecting transversally such that each projection defines an isomorphism on one component and contracts the other to a reduced point.*
(c) *The curve* C *induces morphisms* $\mathbb{P}^1_Y \leftrightarrows \mathbb{P}^1_Y$ *which degenerate to* $\mathbb{P}^1 \to$ *pt. over* $Z(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})$ *and which restrict to mutually inverse isomorphisms* $\mathbb{P}^1_{U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})}$ *that give rise to the isomorphism* $s^{\theta}|_{U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})} \cong s^{\theta'}|_{U(s^\theta, s^{\theta'})}$.

Proof. (a) Assume that $s_k^{\theta}(y) \neq (0:1), (1:0)$. We can further assume $s_k^{\theta'}(y) \neq (1:0)$ (similar: $s_k^{\theta'}(y) \neq (0:1)$). Then in a neighbourhood of y for all l we have $s_{l,0}^{\theta} s_{l,1}^{\theta'} x_1^{\theta} x_0^{\theta'} = s_{l,0}^{\theta} s_{l,1}^{\theta'} \frac{s_{k,1}^{\theta} s_{k,0}^{\theta'}}{s_{k,0}^{\theta} s_{k,1}^{\theta'}} x_0^{\theta} x_1^{\theta'} = s_{l,1}^{\theta} s_{l,0}^{\theta'} x_0^{\theta} x_1^{\theta'}$ using equation (2.9) for k and equation (2.7) for k, l.
(b) To show that the curves $C_{i,j}$ glue, it suffices to show that the curves $C_{i,j}$ and $C_{i,j'}$ (and similar: $C_{i,j}$ and $C_{i',j}$) coincide over $U_{i,j} \cap U_{i.j'}$ after the base changes

$$\begin{pmatrix} s_{k,0}^{\theta,i,j'} \\ s_{k,1}^{\theta,i,j'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{j,0}^{\theta,i,j'} & 0 \\ s_{j,1}^{\theta,i,j'} & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,0}^{\theta,i,j} \\ s_{k,1}^{\theta,i,j} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,0}^{\theta,i,j} \\ s_{k,1}^{\theta,i,j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ -s_{j,1}^{\theta,i,j'} & s_{j,0}^{\theta,i,j'} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,0}^{\theta,i,j'} \\ s_{k,1}^{\theta,i,j'} \end{pmatrix},$$

where a satisfies the relation $s_{j',0}^{\theta,i,j} s_{j,1}^{\theta,i,j'} + a s_{j',1}^{\theta,i,j} = 0$ and $s_k^{\theta} = (s_{k,0}^{\theta,i,j} : s_{k,1}^{\theta,i,j})$ with respect to coordinates $x_0^{\theta,i,j}, x_1^{\theta,i,j}$ such that $(s_{i,0}^{\theta,i,j} : s_{i,1}^{\theta,i,j}) = (0:1)$, $(s_{j,0}^{\theta,i,j} : s_{j,1}^{\theta,i,j}) = (1:0)$ (similar for θ', j'). Applying these base changes one verifies that the equations (2.9) hold for all k with respect to i, j if and only if they hold for all k with respect to i, j'. The properties of C follow from the properties of $C_{i,j,k}$ given by the single equation (2.9) for k, which are easy to verify.
(c) follows from (b). ∎

Remark 2.17. Proposition 2.16 allows to restrict the sets of equations required to hold in functors like (2.8) in proposition 2.15. All equations (2.7) relating $s^{\theta}, s^{\theta'}$ hold if the equations with respect to choices of i, j hold such that the corresponding sets $\{y \mid s_i^{\theta}(y) \neq s_j^{\theta}(y), s_i^{\theta'}(y) \neq s_j^{\theta'}(y)\}$ cover Y. Also, with respect to fixed i, j, all equations relating $s^{\theta}, s^{\theta'}$ hold if those for certain l hold over $\{y \mid s_l^{\theta}(y) \neq s_i^{\theta}(y), s_j^{\theta}(y)\}$ or $\{y \mid s_l^{\theta'}(y) \neq s_i^{\theta'}(y), s_j^{\theta'}(y)\}$ and the corresponding sets cover Y.

3 Losev-Manin and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces and root systems of type A

3.1 Losev-Manin and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces

The Losev-Manin moduli spaces of stable n-pointed chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$ were introduced in [LM00].

Definition 3.1. *A stable n-pointed chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$ over an algebraically closed field is a tuple $(C, s_0, s_\infty, s_1, \dots, s_n)$, where (C, s_0, s_∞) is a chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. its irreducible components are isomorphic to projective lines with two distinct closed points $(\mathbb{P}^1, 0, \infty)$ which intersect transversally such that the point 0 of one component meets the point ∞ of another component and the remaining points $0, \infty$ are denoted s_0, s_∞, further $s_1, \dots, s_n \in C$ are closed regular points different from s_0, s_∞, and each component contains at least one of the marked points $s_1, \dots, s_n$.*

Definition – Theorem 3.2. ([LM00]). *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. The Losev-Manin moduli space $\overline{L}_n$ is the fine moduli space of stable n-pointed chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$, i.e. $\overline{L}_n$ represents the moduli functor (denoted by the same symbol)*

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \Big\{\textit{stable } n\textit{-pointed chains of } \mathbb{P}^1 \textit{ over } Y\Big\}/\sim$$

where a stable n-pointed chain of $\mathbb{P}^1$ over a scheme Y is a flat proper morphism $C \to Y$ with sections $s_0, s_\infty, s_1, \dots, s_n \colon Y \to C$ such that the geometric fibres $(C_y, s_0(y), s_\infty(y), s_1(y), \dots, s_n(y))$ are stable n-pointed chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$ over algebraically closed fields.

$\overline{L}_n$ is toric, it compactifies the algebraic torus $L_n = (\mathbb{G}_m)^n/\,\mathbb{G}_m$, the moduli space of n points in $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. It has been shown in [LM00] that the moduli functor of stable n-pointed chains of $\mathbb{P}^1$ is represented by a projective scheme of relative dimension $n-1$, using an inductive construction of $\overline{L}_n$ together with the universal curve which is isomorphic to $\overline{L}_{n+1} \to \overline{L}_n$. This morphism $\overline{L}_{n+1} \to \overline{L}_n$, studied in a similar setting in [Kn83], is a special case of the following morphisms that arise by forgetting sets of sections, see [BB11, Construction 3.15].

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. We write $\overline{L}_I$ for $\overline{L}_{|I|}$ with sections indexed by I. Then there is a morphism*

$$\gamma_I \colon\; \overline{L}_n \;\to\; \overline{L}_I$$

such that a stable n-pointed chain is transformed to a stable I-pointed chain by forgetting the sections $\{s_i \mid i \notin I\}$ and contraction of components which have become unstable.

The Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is the moduli space of stable n-pointed curves of genus 0, i.e. of stable n-pointed trees of $\mathbb{P}^1$. More generally, stable n-pointed curves of genus g occur already in [SGA7(1), I.5] and their moduli spaces and stacks have been systematically studied in [Kn83].

Definition 3.4. *A stable n-pointed curve of genus 0 over an algebraically closed field is a tuple $(C, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ where C is a complete connected reduced curve C of genus 0 with at most ordinary double points, i.e. a tree of $\mathbb{P}^1$, $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ are closed points of C such that each s_i is a regular point of C, $s_i \neq s_j$ for $i \neq j$ and each component of C has a least 3 special points (i.e. singular points and marked points s_i).*

Definition – Theorem 3.5. ([Kn83]). *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$. The Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is the fine moduli space of stable n-pointed curves of genus 0, i.e. $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ represents the moduli functor (denoted by the same symbol)*

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ \textit{stable } n\textit{-pointed curves of genus } 0 \textit{ over } Y \right\} / \sim$$

where a stable n-pointed curve of genus 0 over a scheme Y is a flat proper morphism $C \to Y$ with sections $s_1, \ldots, s_n \colon Y \to C$ such that the geometric fibres $(C_y, s_1(y), \ldots, s_n(y))$ are stable n-pointed curves of genus 0.

$\overline{M}_{0,n}$ compactifies the moduli space $M_{0,n}$ of n distinct points in $\mathbb{P}^1$. The fact that the moduli functor of stable n-pointed curves of genus 0 is represented by a projective scheme of relative dimension $n-3$ has been shown in [Kn83] using an inductive argument on n, showing that the universal family over $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is formed by the morphism $\overline{M}_{0,n+1} \to \overline{M}_{0,n}$. This morphism is a special case of the following morphisms for inclusions $I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$ which can be defined by mapping a stable n-pointed tree $(C \to Y, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ to its image under the morphism defined by the sheaf $\omega_{C/Y}(\sum_{i \in I} s_i)$, where $\omega_{C/Y}$ is the dualising sheaf (see [Kn83]).

Proposition 3.6. *Let $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $|I| \geq 3$. We write $\overline{M}_{0,I}$ for $\overline{M}_{0,|I|}$ with the sections of poined trees indexed by I. Then there is a morphism*

$$\gamma_I \colon \overline{M}_{0,n} \to \overline{M}_{0,I}$$

such that a stable n-pointed tree is transformed to a stable I-pointed tree by forgetting the sections $\{s_i \mid i \notin I\}$ and contraction of components which have become unstable.

3.2 Embeddings into products of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and relation to root systems of type A

We consider the cross-ratio varieties for root subsystems of type A_3 in A_{n-1} defined and studied in [Sek94], [Sek96]. It was already observed in [Sek96] that the cross-ratio variety for root subsystems of type A_3 in A_{n-1} should be isomorphic to the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$.

The root lattice of A_n is the sublattice $M(A_{n-1}) \subset L(A_{n-1}) = \mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by the roots $\alpha_{i,j} = e_i - e_j$ where $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ are the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We have the lattice $L(A_{n-1})^*$ dual to $L(A_{n-1})$ and the lattice $N(A_{n-1}) = L(A_{n-1})^*/(1, \ldots, 1)\mathbb{Z}$ dual to $M(A_{n-1})$.

We consider cross-ratio varieties for root systems of type A_3 in A_{n-1}. Let $\mathbb{A}(L(A_{n-1}))$ be the n-dimensional affine space with coordinates $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ corresponding to the basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. We have the open subscheme $U(A_{n-1}) = \mathbb{A}(L(A_{n-1})) \setminus \bigcup_{i \neq j} \{t_i = t_j\}$. For root subsystems $A_3 \cong \Delta \subseteq A_{n-1}$ consisting of roots $\alpha_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in V = \{i_1, \ldots, i_4\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $|V| = 4$ there is the morphism

$$c^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}_{A_{n-1},\Delta} = ((t_{i_1} - t_{i_4})(t_{i_2} - t_{i_3}) : (t_{i_1} - t_{i_3})(t_{i_2} - t_{i_4})) \colon\ U(A_{n-1}) \ \to\ \mathbb{P}^1$$

depending on the choice of an ordering $V = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}$ or equivalently a simple system $\alpha_{i_1,i_2}, \alpha_{i_2,i_3}, \alpha_{i_3,i_4}$ in $\Delta \cong A_3$. Considering the case $n = 4$, the image of each of these morphisms is $X_{A_3,A_3} = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(0:1), (1:0)\}$ and we denote its closure $\overline{X}_{A_3,A_3}$. Each of these morphisms $c^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}_{A_3,A_3}$ fixes homogeneous coordinates on $\overline{X}_{A_3,A_3}$ or equivalently defines an isomorphism $\varphi^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}_{A_3} \colon \overline{X}_{A_3,A_3} \to \mathbb{P}^1$. In the general case for root subsystems $A_3 \cong \Delta \subseteq A_{n-1}$ consisting of roots $\alpha_{i,j}$ for $i, j \in V = \{i_1, \ldots, i_4\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $|V| = 4$ there is the morphism

$$c_{A_{n-1},\Delta} \colon\ U(A_{n-1}) \ \to\ \overline{X}_{\Delta,\Delta}.$$

such that for every choice of an ordering $V = \{i_1, \ldots, i_4\}$ we have $\varphi^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}_{\Delta} \circ c_{A_{n-1},\Delta} = c^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}_{A_{n-1},\Delta} \colon U(A_{n-1}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

Definition 3.7. *We denote the image* $X_{A_{n-1},A_3} = \big(\prod_{A_3 \cong \Delta \subseteq A_{n-1}} c_{A_{n-1},\Delta}\big)\big(U(A_{n-1})\big)$ *and define the cross-ratio variety* $\overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_3}$ *for root systems of type* A_3 *in* A_{n-1} *as the closure*

$$\overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_3} \ = \ \overline{X_{A_{n-1},A_3}} \ \subseteq\ \prod_{A_3 \cong \Delta \subseteq A_{n-1}} \overline{X}_{\Delta,\Delta} \ \cong\ \prod_{A_3 \cong \Delta \subseteq A_{n-1}} \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Let $\overline{c}_{A_{n-1},\Delta} \colon \overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_3} \to \overline{X}_{\Delta,\Delta}$ *be the morphisms induced by the projection on the factors of the product.*

In a similar way we can construct a scheme from the set of root subsystems of type A_1 in A_{n-1}. We have the n-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}(L(A_{n-1}))$ with homogeneous coordinates $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ corresponding to the basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$. The open subscheme $T(A_{n-1}) = \mathbb{P}(L(A_{n-1})) \setminus \bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(L(A_{n-1}))$ is the algebraic torus with character lattice $M(A_{n-1})$. For root subsystems $A_1 \cong \{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\} \subseteq A_{n-1}$ we have morphisms

$$c^{i,j}_{A_{n-1},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} = (t_i : t_j) \colon\ T(A_{n-1}) \ \to\ \mathbb{P}^1$$

depending on the choice of an ordering of $\{i, j\}$ or equivalently on the choice of a simple system $\alpha_{i,j}$ in $\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}$. Considering the case $n = 2$, the morphisms $c^{i,j}_{A_1,A_1}$ are open embeddings with image $X_{A_1,A_1} = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(0:1), (1:0)\}$ and we denote its closure $\overline{X}_{A_1,A_1}$. Each of these morphisms $c^{i,j}_{A_1,A_1}$ fixes homogeneous coordinates on $\overline{X}_{A_1,A_1}$ or equivalently defines an isomorphism $\overline{X}_{A_1,A_1} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. In the general case for root subsystems $A_1 \cong \{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\} \subseteq A_{n-1}$ there is the morphism

$$c_{A_{n-1},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} \colon\ T(A_{n-1}) \ \to\ \overline{X}_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}}.$$

such that $c^{i,j}_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} \circ c_{A_{n-1},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} = c^{i,j}_{A_{n-1},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} \colon T(A_{n-1}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$.

The morphisms $c_{A_{n-1},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}}$ are homomorphisms of algebraic tori. The homomorphism of tori $\prod_{A_1\cong\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}\subseteq A_{n-1}} c_{A_{n-1},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}}$ embeds $T(A_{n-1})$ into the open dense torus of $\prod_{A_1\cong\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}\subseteq A_{n-1}} \overline{X}_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}}$. The closure

$$\overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_1} \;=\; \overline{X_{A_{n-1},A_1}} \;\subseteq\; \prod_{A_3\cong\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}\subseteq A_{n-1}} \overline{X}_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} \;\cong\; \prod_{A_1\cong\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}\subseteq A_{n-1}} \mathbb{P}^1$$

coincides with the toric variety $X(A_{n-1})$ associated with the root system A_{n-1}, i.e. its fan is the fan of Weyl chambers of the root system A_{n-1} in the lattice $N(A_{n-1})$, because on the open dense torus $T(A_{n-1}) \subset X(A_{n-1})$ the morphisms $c_{A_{n-1},\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}}$ coincide with the morphisms

$$\gamma_{\{\pm\alpha_{ij}\}}\colon\; X(A_{n-1}) \;\to\; X(A_1) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$$

for inclusions of root subsystems $\{\pm\alpha_{ij}\} \subseteq A_{n-1}$ of type A_1 and the morphism $\prod_{\{\pm\alpha_{ij}\}} \gamma_{\{\pm\alpha_{ij}\}}$ is a closed embedding (see [BB11] or theorem 3.9 below).

Already in [LM00, (2.6.3)] the Losev-Manin moduli space $\overline{L}_n$ has been identified as the smooth projective toric variety associated with the $(n-1)$-dimensional permutohedron, which coincides with $X(A_{n-1})$. In [BB11] we give an alternative proof that the functor $\overline{L}_n$ is representable and the fine moduli space $\overline{L}_n$ is isomorphic to the smooth projective toric variety $X(A_{n-1})$ after a systematic study of toric varieties $X(R)$ associated with root systems R, their functorial properties with respect to maps of root systems and their functor of points, and show that the morphisms $\gamma_R\colon X(A_{n-1}) \to X(R) \cong X(A_{k-1})$ corresponding to inclusions of root systems $A_{k-1} \cong R \subset A_{n-1}$ can be identified with the contraction morphisms $\gamma_I\colon \overline{L}_n \to \overline{L}_I \cong \overline{L}_k$ determined by subsets $I \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$, $|I| = k$.

Example 3.8. $\overline{L}_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \cong X(A_1)$.
There is an isomorphism $X(A_1) \cong \overline{X}_{A_1,A_1} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with homogeneous coordinates t_i, t_j for $\{i,j\} = \{1,2\}$. Then t_i, t_j correspond to the basis e_i, e_j of $L(A_1)$ and the quotients $\frac{t_i}{t_j}$ correspond to the roots $\alpha_{i,j} = e_i - e_j \in M(A_1)$. Concerning $\overline{L}_2$ each $i \in \{1,2\}$ defines via the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C(s_i)$ a contraction of any stable 2-pointed chain $(C \to Y, s_0, s_\infty, s_1, s_2)$ over a scheme Y to a trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over Y with three pairwise disjoint sections s^i_0, s^i_∞, s^i_i and a fourth section s^i_j. We can choose homogeneous coordinates $x^i_{j,0}, x^i_{j,1}$ such that $s^i_0 = (0:1)$, $s^i_\infty = (1:0)$, $s^i_i = (1:1)$. The remaining section s^i_j gives a section $(s^i_{j,0} : s^i_{j,1})$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$. This determines an isomorphism $\overline{L}_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. We have $(s^i_{j,0} : s^i_{j,1}) = (s^j_{i,1} : s^j_{i,0})$ and the two choices of homogeneous coordinates are related by $(x^i_{j,0} : x^i_{j,1}) = (x^j_{i,1} : x^j_{i,0})$. We can identify $\overline{L}_2 = X(A_1)$ by $(x^j_{i,0} : x^j_{i,1}) \leftrightarrow (t_i : t_j)$.

Theorem 3.9. ([BB11]). *The morphism*

$$\prod_{\{i,j\}} \gamma_{\{i,j\}}\colon \overline{L}_n \to \prod_{\{i,j\}} \overline{L}_{\{i,j\}} \quad \textit{or equivalently} \quad \prod_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} \gamma_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}}\colon X(A_{n-1}) \to \prod_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} X(\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}),$$

where the product is over all subsets $I = \{i,j\} \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$, $|I| = 2$ or equivalently over all root subsystems $A_1 \cong \{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\} \subseteq A_{n-1}$, is a closed embedding. Its image in $\prod_{\{i,j\}} \overline{L}_{\{i,j\}} = \prod_{\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\}} X(\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\})$ is given by the equations of the form

$$x^j_{i,0} x^i_{k,0} x^j_{k,1} = x^j_{i,1} x^i_{k,1} x^j_{k,0}$$

for all subsets $J = \{i,j,k\} \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|J| = 3$ or equivalently all root subsystems $A_2 \cong \{\pm\alpha_{i,j}, \pm\alpha_{j,k}, \pm\alpha_{i,k}\} \subseteq A_{n-1}$, where $x^i_{j,0}, x^i_{j,1}$ are the homogeneous coordinates of $\overline{L}_{\{i,j\}} = X(\{\pm\alpha_{i,j}\})$ as defined in example 3.8. The functor of points of $\overline{L}_n = X(A_{n-1})$ is isomorphic to the contravariant functor

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{families of sections} \\ (s^i_j \colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y)_{i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}} \end{array} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \forall\, i \colon s^i_{i,0} = s^i_{i,1} \\ \forall\, i,j,k \colon s^j_{i,0} s^i_{k,0} s^j_{k,1} = s^j_{i,1} s^i_{k,1} s^j_{k,0} \end{array} \right\} \tag{3.1}$$

Similarly, we can describe $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ and its embedding into a product of $\mathbb{P}^1$ in terms of the root system A_{n-1}. The space $U(A_{n-1}) = \mathbb{A}(L(A_{n-1})) \setminus \bigcup_{i\neq j}\{t_i = t_j\}$ parametrises n distinct points in $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{(1:0)\}$. The tautological family determines a morphism $\psi \colon U(A_{n-1}) \to M_{0,n}$. The following lemma is easy to verify.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|I| = 4$ and $A_3 \cong \Delta = \{\alpha_{i,j} \mid i,j \in I\} \subseteq A_{n-1}$ the corresponding root subsystem. We identify $\overline{M}_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ by $(C \to Y, (s_i)_i) \mapsto (s_{i_4} \colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y)$ if $s_{i_1} = (0:1)$, $s_{i_2} = (1:0)$, $s_{i_3} = (1:1)$ after contraction to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle as in example 3.11, and denote the composition $\gamma_I^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4} \colon \overline{M}_{0,n} \xrightarrow{\gamma_I} \overline{M}_{0,I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1$. On the other hand there is the morphism $c^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}_{A_{n-1},\Delta} = ((t_{i_1} - t_{i_4})(t_{i_2} - t_{i_3}) : (t_{i_1} - t_{i_3})(t_{i_2} - t_{i_4})) \colon U(A_{n-1}) \to \overline{X}_{\Delta,\Delta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1$. Then $c^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4}_{A_{n-1},\Delta} = \gamma_I^{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4} \circ \psi$.*

Example 3.11. $\overline{M}_{0,4} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.
We choose a permutation $\{1,\dots,4\} = \{i_1,\dots,i_4\}$. Three sections $s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, s_{i_3}$ define a contraction of any stable 4-pointed curve $(C \to Y, s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, s_{i_3}, s_{i_4})$ to a trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over Y via the line bundle $\omega_{C/Y}(s_{i_1} + s_{i_2} + s_{i_3})$ (cf. [Kn83]). We can choose homogeneous coordinates $x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0}, x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$ such that $s_{i_1} = (0\!:\!1)$, $s_{i_2} = (1\!:\!0)$, $s_{i_3} = (1\!:\!1)$. The remaining section s_{i_4} gives a section $(s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} : s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1})$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$. The coordinates corresponding to all possible permutations of $\{1,\dots,4\}$ are related by the formulae

$$\begin{array}{l} x^{i_2,i_1,i_3}_{i_4,0} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} = x^{i_2,i_1,i_3}_{i_4,1} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} \\ x^{i_3,i_2,i_1}_{i_4,0} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} = x^{i_3,i_2,i_1}_{i_4,1} \big(x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} - x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0}\big) \\ x^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_3,0} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} = x^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_3,1} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

corresponding to generators of the permutation group. The sections in these coordinates are related by

$$\begin{array}{l} s^{i_2,i_1,i_3}_{i_4,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} = s^{i_2,i_1,i_3}_{i_4,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} \\ s^{i_3,i_2,i_1}_{i_4,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} = s^{i_3,i_2,i_1}_{i_4,1} \big(s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} - s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0}\big) \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

for permutations of the upper indices and by

$$s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_3,0} = s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_3,1}. \tag{3.4}$$

The following theorem follows mostly from [GHP88]. We use this closed embedding of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ to describe its functor of points, add the interpretation in terms of root systems and the link to the cross-ratio varieties. To relate $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ to $\overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_3}$ use lemma 3.10.

Theorem 3.12. *The morphism*

$$\prod_{|I|=4} \gamma_I \colon \overline{M}_{0,n} \to \prod_{|I|=4} \overline{M}_{0,I},$$

where the product is over all subsets $I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|I|=4$, is a closed embedding. This embedding induces an isomorphism $\overline{M}_{0,n} \to \overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_3}$ and can be identified with the closed embedding

$$\prod_{A_3 \cong \Delta \subseteq A_{n-1}} \overline{c}_{A_{n-1},\Delta} \colon \overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_3} \to \prod_{A_3 \cong \Delta \subseteq A_{n-1}} \overline{X}_{\Delta,\Delta},$$

where the product is over all root subsystems Δ isomorphic to A_3. The image of $\overline{M}_{0,n} \cong \overline{X}_{A_{n-1},A_3}$ in $\prod_I \overline{M}_{0,I} \cong \prod_\Delta \overline{X}_{\Delta,\Delta}$ is given by the equations of the form

$$x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,1} x^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,0} = x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,0} x^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,1}$$

for all subsets $J \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|J|=5$ or equivalently all root subsystems $A_4 \cong \Gamma \subseteq A_{n-1}$, where the homogeneous coordinates $x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0}, x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0}$ are defined as in example 3.11 and homogeneous coordinates corresponding to different permutations of a set $I \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|I|=4$ for the same $\overline{M}_{0,I} = \overline{X}_{\Delta,\Delta}$ are related by equations (3.2)*. The functor of points of $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is isomorphic to the contravariant functor*

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} \text{families of sections} & \forall\, T=\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}, |T|=3\colon \\ s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4} \colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y & s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_1} = (0\colon 1), s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_2} = (1\colon 0), s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_3} = (1\colon 1) \\ \text{for } i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4 \in \{1,\dots,n\} & \forall\, I=\{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4\}, |I|=4\colon \\ \text{such that } |\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}|=3 & \text{equations (3.3) and (3.4) hold} \\ & \forall\, J=\{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5\}, |J|=5\colon \\ & s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,0} = s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,1} \end{array} \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.13. The stable n-pointed tree can be reconstructed from data as in (3.5) over an S-scheme Y as the curve $C \subseteq (\prod_T \mathbb{P}^1)_Y$, where the product is over subsets $T \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $|T|=3$, defined by the equations

$$s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_1 x^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_0 = s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_0 x^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_1,$$

where $x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_0, x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_1$ are the homogeneous coordinates of the factor corresponding to $T=\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}$ and related under permutations of elements of T by equations as the first two in (3.2) leaving out the lower index, and the sections are given as $s_k = (s^T_{k,0} : s^T_{k,1})_T \colon Y \to C \subseteq (\prod_T \mathbb{P}^1)_Y$, where $(s^T_{k,0} : s^T_{k,1}) = (s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{k,0} : s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{k,1})$ for $T=\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}$ with respect to the coordinates $x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_0, x^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_1$. This follows easily from theorem 3.12 and the fact that $\overline{M}_{0,n+1} \to \overline{M}_{0,n}$ forms the universal n-pointed tree over $\overline{M}_{0,n}$. One may also compare this to proposition 2.16.

3.3 Losev-Manin and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces as limits of moduli spaces of quiver representations

We relate moduli spaces of representations of P_n to the Losev-Manin moduli spaces $\overline{L}_n$.

For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we define the weight $\theta^i \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \subset H(P_n)$ by $\eta_1^i, \eta_2^i = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\theta_i^i = 1 - \varepsilon$ and $\theta_j^i = \frac{\varepsilon}{n-1}$ for $j \neq i$, where $1 \gg \varepsilon > 0$. The weights θ^i are generic and any s^{θ^i} that is θ^i-stable has the property that $s_i^{\theta^i}$ does not meet $(0:1)$ and $(1:0)$. The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\theta^i}(P_n)$ are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}$.

Theorem 3.14. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\overline{L}_n \;\cong\; \varprojlim_{\theta} \mathcal{M}^{\theta}(P_n).$$

Proof. By proposition 2.15 $\varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(P_n) \cong \mathrm{Lim}(P_n)$. Consider the functor $\mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)$ defined by

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \left\{ (\varphi_{s^{\theta^i}})_i \in \textstyle\prod_i \mathcal{M}^{\theta^i}(P_n)(Y) \;\middle|\; \forall\, i,j,k,l\colon\; s^{\theta^i}_{k,0} s^{\theta^i}_{l,1} s^{\theta^j}_{k,1} s^{\theta^j}_{l,0} = s^{\theta^i}_{k,1} s^{\theta^i}_{l,0} s^{\theta^j}_{k,0} s^{\theta^j}_{l,1} \right\}$$

We claim that the natural morphism of functors $\mathrm{Lim}(P_n) \to \mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)$ that arises by restricting elements and relations is an isomorphism. The property

$$\mathrm{int}\left(\Theta(s^\theta(y)) \cap \Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))\right) \neq \emptyset \iff s^\theta \cong s^{\theta'} \text{ in a neighbourhood of } y$$

of $(s^\theta)_\theta \in \mathrm{Lim}(P_n)(Y)$ follows from the equations relating $s^\theta, s^{\theta'}$ and thus also holds for elements of $\mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)(Y)$: by proposition 2.16 we have an isomorphism $s^\theta \cong s^{\theta'}$ on an open set and for y in its complement there are J, J' such that $(s^\theta_j(y))_{j\in J}$ coincide, $(s^{\theta'}_j(y))_{j\in J'}$ coincide and $(J \cup J')^{\complement} = \emptyset$, which implies that $\Theta(s^\theta(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta'}(y))$ are separated by a wall. By this property for elements of $\mathrm{Lim}(P_n)(Y)$ resp. $\mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)(Y)$ the polytopes $\Theta(s^\theta(y))$ resp. $\Theta(s^{\theta^i}(y))$ have either disjoint interiors or coincide. We construct the inverse morphism by showing that there is a unique way to extend an element $(s^{\theta^i})_i \in \mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)(Y)$ to an element $(s^\theta)_\theta \in \mathrm{Lim}(P_n)(Y)$. Such a $(s^\theta)_\theta \in \mathrm{Lim}(P_n)(Y)$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to $\mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)(Y)$ because for any generic θ we have $\bigcup_i \{y \mid s^\theta(y)\ \theta^i\text{-stable}\} = Y$. On the other hand we can extend a given $(s^{\theta^i})_i \in \mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)(Y)$ to an element of $\mathrm{Lim}(P_n)(Y)$ if for any generic θ we have $\bigcup_i \{y \mid s^{\theta^i}(y)\ \theta\text{-stable}\} = Y$ or equivalently for all $y \in Y$ the polytopes $\Theta(s^{\theta^i}(y))$ cover $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$. The fibres $(s^{\theta^i}(y))_i$ over a geometric point $y \in Y$ define a decomposition of $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$ into polytopes: the polytopes $\Theta(s^{\theta^i}(y))$ and possibly remaining parts. By remark 2.4 and lemma 2.6 each of the remaining parts is of the form $P(J,J') = \{\theta \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \mid \sum_{i\in J} \theta_i \leq -\eta_1\} \cap \{\theta \in \Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1} \mid \sum_{i \in J'} \theta_i \leq -\eta_2\}$, i.e. bounded by walls W_J and $W_{(J')^{\complement}}$, for some J, J' such that $J \subsetneq (J')^{\complement}$. But any such $P(J,J')$ contains θ^i for $i \in \{1,\dots,n\} \setminus (J \cup J')$. So already the polytopes $\Theta(s^{\theta^i}(y))$ cover $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^{n-1}$. Thus we have shown $\mathrm{Lim}(P_n) \cong \mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)$.

By remark 2.17 we can restrict the equations $s^{\theta^i}_{k,0} s^{\theta^i}_{l,1} s^{\theta^j}_{k,1} s^{\theta^j}_{l,0} = s^{\theta^i}_{k,1} s^{\theta^i}_{l,0} s^{\theta^j}_{k,0} s^{\theta^j}_{l,1}$ in the functor $\mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)$ relating $s^{\theta^i}, s^{\theta^j}$ to equations with $l = i$. Thus $\mathrm{Lim}'(P_n)$ is isomorphic to

the functor $\mathrm{Lim}''(P_n)$ defined by

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \left\{ (\varphi_{s^{\theta^i}})_i \in \textstyle\prod_i \mathcal{M}^{\theta^i}(P_n)(Y) \;\middle|\; \forall\, i,j,k\colon s^{\theta^i}_{k,0}s^{\theta^i}_{i,1}s^{\theta^j}_{k,1}s^{\theta^j}_{i,0} = s^{\theta^i}_{k,1}s^{\theta^i}_{i,0}s^{\theta^j}_{k,0}s^{\theta^j}_{i,1} \right\}$$

Each s^{θ^i} consists of a family $(s^{\theta^i}_j)_{j=1,\dots,n}$ of sections $s^{\theta^i}_j : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y$ up to operation of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$ fixing $(0:1),(1:0)$. Within its isomorphism class we can choose this family such that $s^{\theta^i}_i = (1:1)$, then we denote $s^i_j = s^{\theta^i}_j : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y$. The functor $\mathrm{Lim}''(P_n)$ is isomorphic to

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \textit{families } (s^i_j)_{i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}} \\ \textit{of sections } s^i_j\colon Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y \end{array} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \forall\, i\colon s^i_{i,0} = s^i_{i,1} \\ \forall\, i,j,k\colon s^i_{k,0}s^j_{k,1}s^j_{i,0} = s^i_{k,1}s^j_{k,0}s^j_{i,1} \end{array} \right\}$$

This functor is the same as the functor (3.1) in theorem 3.9. □

In the same way we study the relation of moduli spaces of representations of Q_n to the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,n}$.

For $T \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|T| = 3$ we define the weight θ^T for the quiver Q_n as $\theta^T_i = \frac{2}{3}(1-\varepsilon)$ for $i \in T$ and $\theta^T_i = \frac{2}{n-3}\varepsilon$ for $i \notin T$, where $1 \gg \varepsilon > 0$. The weights θ^T are generic and for any θ^T-stable s^{θ^T} the sections $(s^{\theta^T}_i)_{i\in T}$ are disjoint. The moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}^{\theta^T}(Q_n)$ are isomorphic to $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-3}$.

Theorem 3.15. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\overline{M}_{0,n} \;\cong\; \varprojlim_{\theta} \mathcal{M}^{\theta}(Q_n).$$

Proof. By proposition 2.15 $\varprojlim_\theta \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n) \cong \mathrm{Lim}(Q_n)$. Consider the functor $\mathrm{Lim}'(Q_n)$ defined by

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \left\{ (\varphi_{s^{\theta^T}})_T \in \textstyle\prod_T \mathcal{M}^{\theta^T}(Q_n)(Y) \;\middle|\; \textit{equations (2.7) hold} \right\}$$

In the same way as in the proof in the case of P_n we show that the natural morphism $\mathrm{Lim}(Q_n) \to \mathrm{Lim}'(Q_n)$ is an isomorphism by showing that $\bigcup_T \{y \mid s^{\theta^T}(y)\ \theta\textit{-stable}\} = Y$ for all $(s^{\theta^T})_T$ defining an element of $\mathrm{Lim}'(Q_n)(Y)$ and all generic θ. For such $(s^{\theta^T})_T$ and fixed $y \in Y$ again the polytopes $\Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))$ either coincide or their interiors are disjoint, and $\Delta(2,n)$ decomposes into the polytopes $\Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))$ and remaining parts. Using remark 2.2 and lemma 2.3 we see that the remaining parts consist of polytopes bounded by walls $W_{\{J_l,J_l^\complement\}}$ which do not intersect in the interior of $\Delta(2,n)$ and thus are of the form $\bigcap_l \{\theta \in \Delta(2,n) \mid \sum_{j\in J_l}\theta_j \le 1\}$ for disjoint subsets $J_l \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$, $2 \le |J_l| \le n-2$. Any such subset which is full-dimensional contains a θ^T, thus $\bigcup_T \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y)) = \Delta(2,n)$ for fixed $y \in Y$, which is equivalent to the above statement.

In the functor $\mathrm{Lim}'(Q_n)$ the statement that equations 2.7 hold means that for all T, T' and for all i,j locally over $\{y \mid s^{\theta^T}_i(y) \ne s^{\theta^T}_j(y), s^{\theta^{T'}}_i(y) \ne s^{\theta^{T'}}_j(y)\} \subseteq Y$, after choice of coordinates such that $s^{\theta^T}_i, s^{\theta^{T'}}_i = (0:1)$ and $s^{\theta^T}_j, s^{\theta^{T'}}_j = (1:0)$, for all k,l the equations

$$s^{\theta^T}_{k,0}s^{\theta^T}_{l,1}s^{\theta^{T'}}_{k,1}s^{\theta^{T'}}_{l,0} = s^{\theta^T}_{k,1}s^{\theta^T}_{l,0}s^{\theta^{T'}}_{k,0}s^{\theta^{T'}}_{l,1} \tag{3.6}$$

hold.

We claim that we can restrict this set of equations to the set of equations for T, T' with $|T \cap T'| = 2$. In the last step we have shown that for all $y \in Y$ the polytopes $\Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))$ cover $\Delta(2,n)$. By the last part of the proof of lemma 2.14 if the union of the walls separating $\Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y)), \Theta(s^{\theta^{T'}}(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta^{T'}}(y)), \Theta(s^{\theta^{T''}}(y))$ are exactly the walls that separate $\Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y)), \Theta(s^{\theta^{T''}}(y))$ and if $s^{\theta^T}, s^{\theta^{T'}}$ and $s^{\theta^{T'}}, s^{\theta^{T''}}$ in a neighbourhood of y are related by equations of the form (3.6), then so are $s^{\theta^T}, s^{\theta^{T''}}$. The case that $\Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))$ and $\Theta(s^{\theta^{T''}}(y))$ coincide is trivial. It follows that it is enough to consider the situation that $\Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y)), \Theta(s^{\theta^{T''}}(y))$ are separated by a wall $W_{\{J,J^{\complement}\}} = \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y)) \cap \Theta(s^{\theta^{T''}}(y))$. If $T \subseteq J$ then there is $\tilde{T}$ such that $\theta^{\tilde{T}} \in \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))$, $|T \cap \tilde{T}| = 2$, $\tilde{T} \cap J^{\complement} \neq \emptyset$, thus we can assume that both T and T'' have nonempty intersection with J and $J^{\complement}$. In this case if $T \cap T'' = \emptyset$ there exists T' such that $\theta^{T'} \in \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))$, $T \cap T' \neq \emptyset$, $T' \cap T'' \neq \emptyset$ (to define $T' = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ let $i_1 \in T''$ and then $i_2, i_3 \in T$ such that $s^{\theta^T}_{i_1}(y), s^{\theta^T}_{i_2}(y), s^{\theta^T}_{i_3}(y)$ pairwise distinct) and if $|T \cap T''| = 1$ there is T' such that $\theta^{T'} \in \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))$, $|T \cap T'| = 2 = |T' \cap T''|$ (assuming $(s^{\theta^T}_i(y))_{i \in J^{\complement}}$ coincide, $(s^{\theta^{T''}}_j(y))_{j \in J}$ coincide and $T \cap T'' \subset J^{\complement}$, to define $T' = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ let $\{i_1\} = T \cap T''$, then $i_2 \in T'' \cap J$, and then $i_3 \in T$ such that $s^{\theta^T}_{i_3}(y)$ is distinct from $s^{\theta^T}_{i_1}(y), s^{\theta^T}_{i_2}(y)$).

Having shown that in the functor $\mathrm{Lim}'(Q_n)$ the equations (3.6) with $|T \cap T'| = 2$ are sufficient, by remark 2.17 we can restrict to the equations with choice of i, j such that $T \cap T' = \{i_1, i_2\}$. Let $T = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$, $T' = \{i_1, i_2, i_4\}$. Also by remark 2.17 it suffices to consider the equations with $k = i_4$ and write i_5 for l. There are two cases $i_3 = i_5$ and $i_3 \neq i_5$ giving rise to two sets of equations. This shows that $\mathrm{Lim}'(Q_n)$ is isomorphic to the functor $\mathrm{Lim}''(Q_n)$ defined by

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ (\varphi_{s^{\theta^T}})_T \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \forall\, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \textit{ pairwise distinct}: \\ s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_4,0} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_3,1} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_4,1} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_3,0} = s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_4,1} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_3,0} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_4,0} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_3,1} \\ \forall\, i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 \textit{ pairwise distinct}: \\ s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_4,0} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_5,1} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_4,1} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_5,0} = s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_4,1} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}_{i_5,0} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_4,0} s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_4\}}_{i_5,1} \end{array} \right\}$$

where we choose coordinates such that $s^{\theta^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}}_{i_1} = (0:1)$, $s^{\theta^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}}_{i_2} = (1:0)$ and use the abbreviations $s^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}} = s^{\theta^{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}}}$.

Each $s^{\theta^T} \in \mathcal{M}^{\theta^T}(Q_n)(Y)$ consists of a family $(s^{\theta^T}_k)_{k=1,\ldots,n}$ of sections $s^{\theta^T}_k : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y$ up to operation of $\mathrm{PGL}(2)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_Y$. Within its isomorphism class if $T = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ we can choose this family such that $s^{\theta^T}_{i_1} = (0:1)$, $s^{\theta^T}_{i_2} = (1:0)$, $s^{\theta^T}_{i_3} = (1:1)$, then we denote $s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4} = s^{\theta^T}_{i_4} : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y$. The 3! sections corresponding to $s^{\theta^T}_{i_4}$ for $T = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ are related by equations (3.3). The first set of equations of $\mathrm{Lim}''(Q_n)$ gives equations (3.4). The second equation of $\mathrm{Lim}''(Q_n)$ gives the equation

$$s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,0} = s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,1}$$

The functor that associates to an S-scheme Y families of sections $s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4} : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1_Y$ for $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $|\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}| = 3$ that satisfy these equations is functor (3.5). $\square$

4 Hassett moduli spaces and moduli spaces of quiver representations

4.1 Hassett moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ of weighted pointed curves of genus zero

The Hassett moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{g,a}$ of a-stable n-pointed curves of genus g for a weight a were introduced in [Ha03]. Here we consider the Hassett moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ of curves of genus 0. The weight $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ satisfies $0 < a_i \leq 1$ for all i and $|a| = \sum_i a_i > 2$.

Definition 4.1. *An a-stable n-pointed curve of genus 0 over an algebraically closed field is a tuple $(C, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ where C is a complete connected reduced curve C of genus 0 with at most ordinary double points (i.e. a tree of $\mathbb{P}^1$), $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ are closed points of C such that each s_i is a regular point of C, if $(s_i)_{i\in I}$ coincide then $\sum_{i\in I} a_i \leq 1$, and the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $K_C + a_1 s_1 + \ldots + a_n s_n$ is ample, i.e. for each irreducible component C_k of C we have (number of intersection points with other components) $+ \sum_{s_i \in C_k} a_i > 2$.*

Definition – Theorem 4.2. ([Ha03]). *The Hassett moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ is the fine moduli space of a-stable n-pointed curves of genus 0, i.e. $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ represents the moduli functor (denoted by the same symbol)*

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ a\text{-stable } n\text{-pointed curves of genus } 0 \text{ over } Y \right\} / \sim$$

where an a-stable n-pointed curve of genus 0 over a scheme Y is a flat proper morphism $C \to Y$ with sections $s_1, \ldots, s_n \colon Y \to C$ such that the geometric fibres $(C_y, s_1(y), \ldots, s_n(y))$ are a-stable n-pointed curves of genus 0.

For $a = (1, \ldots, 1)$ the Hassett moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ coincides with the Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, for $a = (1, 1, \varepsilon, \ldots, \varepsilon)$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ coincides with the Losev-Manin moduli space $\overline{L}_n$ (cf. [Ha03, 6.4 and 7.1]).

4.2 The functor of points of $\overline{M}_{0,a}$

We give a description of the functor of $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ similar to theorem 3.9 and 3.12 based on natural embeddings of a-stable n-pointed curves of genus 0 in a product of $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Let $(C \to Y, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$ be an a-stable n-pointed curve of genus 0 over Y. Using again the methods of [Kn83], for $T \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $|T| = 3$ the sections $(s_i)_{i\in T}$ define a contraction morphism to a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over Y. Restricting to the open subscheme $Y^T \subseteq Y$ where $(s_i)_{i\in T}$ are pairwise disjoint, we obtain a trivial $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle $\mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T} \to Y^T$ with sections $s_1^T, \ldots, s_n^T$ such that $(s_i^T)_{i\in T}$ are pairwise disjoint. As in example 3.11 we can introduce homogeneous coordinates $x_0^{i_1,i_2,i_3}, x_1^{i_1,i_2,i_3}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T}$ such that $s_{i_1}^T = (0 : 1)$, $s_{i_2}^T = (1 : 0)$, $s_{i_3}^T = (1 : 1)$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ we can write the sections $s_j^{i_1,i_2,i_3}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T} \to Y^T$ with respect to these coordinates as $(s_{j,0}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} : s_{j,1}^{i_1,i_2,i_3})$.

Under permutations of the elements of T these coordinates are related by the formulae (3.3). For $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $|I| = 4$ and $T, T' \subset I$, $T = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$, $T' = \{i_1, i_2, i_4\}$ over $Y^T \cap Y^{T'}$ we have the relation (3.4).

Let $J = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|J| = 5$ and $I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}$, $I' = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_5\}$, $I'' = \{i_1, i_2, i_4, i_5\}$, $T = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$, $T' = \{i_1, i_2, i_4\}$. Then over $Y^T \cap Y^{T'}$ we have the relation

$$s_{i_4,0}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} s_{i_5,1}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} s_{i_5,0}^{i_1,i_2,i_4} = s_{i_4,1}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} s_{i_5,0}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} s_{i_5,1}^{i_1,i_2,i_4} \tag{4.1}$$

because over the open set $\{y \mid s_{i_3}(y) \neq s_{i_4}(y)\} \subseteq Y^T \cap Y^{T'}$ we have the same situation as in the case $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, over $\{y \mid s_{i_3}(y), s_{i_4}(y)$ *in same component*$\} \subseteq Y^T \cap Y^{T'}$ these equations are usual base changes in $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Theorem 4.3. *The functor of $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ is isomorphic to the contravariant functor*

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} & (0)\ \forall\, T = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}, |T| = 3\colon \\ & s_{i_1}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} = (0\colon 1), s_{i_2}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} = (1\colon 0), s_{i_3}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} = (1\colon 1) \\ & (1)\ \forall\, I = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4\}, |I| = 4\colon \\ \textit{families of sections} & \textit{equations (3.3) and (3.4) hold} \\ s_{i_4}^{i_1,i_2,i_3}\colon Y^T \to \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T} & (2)\ \forall\, J = \{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5\}, |J| = 5\colon \\ \textit{for } i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, \dots, n\}, & \textit{equations (4.1) hold} \\ T = \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}, |T| = 3, & (3)\ \forall\, T\ \forall\, y \in Y^T\colon w(y, T, a) > 2 \\ Y^T \subseteq Y \textit{ open} & (4)\ \forall\, y \in Y\ \forall\, a' \in \mathbb{Q}^n_{>0}, |a'| > 2, a' \leq a\ \exists\, T\colon \\ & y \in Y^T,\ w(y, T, a') > 2 \\ & (5)\ \forall\, T, T'\ \forall\, y \in Y^{T'}\colon \\ & (s_j^{T'})_{j \in T} \textit{ pairwise distinct} \Rightarrow y \in Y^T \end{array} \right\} \tag{4.2}$$

where sets T are always subsets $T \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|T| = 3$,

$$w(y, T, a) \;=\; \textstyle\sum_l \min\left\{1, \sum_{i \in J_l(y,T)} a_i\right\}$$

for geometric points $y \in Y^T$ and the partitions $\{1, \dots, n\} = \bigsqcup_l J_l(y, T)$ defined by $i, j \in J_l(y, T)$ for some l if and only if $s_i^T(y) = s_j^T(y)$. We write s_i^T if we work with some fixed ordering of T that is not relevant.

Proof. The construction above gives a morphism from $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ to the functor (4.2). Of the remaining conditions (3) is satisfied because of a-stability. Concerning condition (4), for an a-stable n-pointed tree C over an algebraically closed field each intersection point p of components divides the tree into two components, where for a given $a' \in \mathbb{Q}^n_{>0}$, $|a'| > 2$, $a' \leq a$ the weights a'_i of the marked points of at least one component sum up to > 1. Let C^p be this component if it is unique, otherwise $C^p = C$. The intersection $\bigcap_p C^p$ is nonempty and $w(y, T, a') > 2$ for each T which singles out an irreducible component of $\bigcap_p C^p$. Condition (5) is satisfied because our construction defines Y^T as the maximal set where the corresponding sections $(s_i)_{i \in T}$ are distinct.

We define the morphism in the opposite direction: for an S-scheme Y and a collection of sections $s_{i_4}^{i_1,i_2,i_3}\colon Y^T \to \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T}$ as in (4.2) we construct an a-stable n-pointed tree over Y. Let $C \subseteq \prod_T \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T}$ be the subscheme defined by the equations

$$s_{i_4,0}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} x_1^{i_1,i_2,i_3} x_0^{i_1,i_2,i_4} = s_{i_4,1}^{i_1,i_2,i_3} x_0^{i_1,i_2,i_3} x_1^{i_1,i_2,i_4}$$

where $x_0^{i_1,i_2,i_3}, x_1^{i_1,i_2,i_3}$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T}$, $T=\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}$, as in remark 3.13. We define sections $s_i = \prod_T (s^T_{i,0} : s^T_{i,1})\colon U \to C \subseteq \prod_T \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T}$ for $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$.

Let $y \in Y$ be a geometric point, $\mathcal{T}^y = \{T \mid y \in Y^T\}$ and $U \subseteq Y$ be an open neighbourhood of y such that $\forall\, T \in \mathcal{T}^y\ \forall\, y' \in U\colon s_i^T(y') = s_j^T(y') \Longrightarrow s_i^T(y) = s_j^T(y)$.

Assume that $s_{i_1}(y) \neq s_{i_2}(y)$, $s_{i_2}(y) = s_{i'_2}(y)$, then $T' = \{i_1, i_2, i'_2\} \notin \mathcal{T}^y$. There is a $T'' \in \mathcal{T}^y$ such that $s^{T''}_{i_1}(y) \neq s^{T''}_{i_2}(y), s^{T''}_{i'_2}(y)$. Then $s^{T''}_{i_1}(y), s^{T''}_{i_2}(y), s^{T''}_{i_3}(y)$ are pairwise distinct for some i_3, so $T = \{i_1,i_2,i_3\} \in \mathcal{T}^y$. The coordinates for T and T' are related over $U \cap Y^{T'}$ by $s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i'_2,0} x_1^{i_1,i_2,i_3} x_0^{i_1,i_2,i'_2} = s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i'_2,1} x_0^{i_1,i_2,i_3} x_1^{i_1,i_2,i'_2}$ with $(s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i'_2,0} : s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i'_2,1}) \neq (0:1), (1:0)$ and this equation is compatible with the other equations. It follows that the projection $\prod_T \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T} \to \prod_{T \neq T'} \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T}$ induces an isomorphism of C onto the subscheme in $\prod_{T\neq T'} \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T}$ defined by the equations not involving T'. The case that 3 sections $(s_i)_{i\in T'}$ coincide over y but not over some $y' \in U$ is similar. Thus we obtain a curve $C' \subseteq \left(\prod_{T\in\mathcal{T}^y} \mathbb{P}^1\right)_U$ isomorphic to the original curve.

Let $I \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$ such $s_i(y) \neq s_{i'}(y)$ for $i, i' \in I$ and for any $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ there is $i \in I$ such that $s_j(y) = s_i(y)$. Then, as in the above case, after restriction to factors corresponding to $T \subseteq I$, we have a curve $C'' \subseteq \left(\prod_{T\subseteq I} \mathbb{P}^1\right)_U$ isomorphic to C'. By remark 3.13 this scheme $C'' \to U$ together with the sections $(s_i)_{i\in I}$ is a stable $|I|$-pointed tree over U.

Using conditions (3) and (4) we show that the geometric fibre $(C_y, s_1(y), \dots, s_n(y))$ is a-stable. The property that for each irreducible component C_y^k of C_y we have (*number of intersection points with other components*) $+ \sum_{s_i(y)\in C_y^k} a_i > 2$ follows from condition (3). The property that if $(s_j(y))_{j\in J}$ coincide then $a(J) = \sum_{j\in J} a_j \leq 1$ follows from condition (4): if $(s_j(y))_{j\in J}$ coincide, then for any ε such that $|a| - a(J) > \varepsilon > 0$ there is $a' < a$ such that $a'_j = a_j$ for $j \in J$ and $\sum_{i\in J^{\complement}} a'_i = \max\{0, 2 - a(J)\} + \varepsilon$, by (4) there exists T such that $y \in Y^T$, $w(y,T,a') > 2$, and $a(J) \leq 1$ follows, because choosing $\varepsilon < a(J) - 1$ in case $a(J) > 1$ would imply $w(y,T,a') \leq 2$.

Thus we have shown that $(C \to Y, s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is an a-stable n-pointed tree. This defines a morphism from the functor (4.2) to $\overline{M}_{0,a}$.

One verifies that these two morphisms are mutually inverse. □

4.3 Hassett moduli spaces as limits of moduli spaces of quiver representations

For $a, a' \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ we write $a' \leq a$ if and only if $a'_i \leq a_i$ for all i, and we write $a' < a$ if $a' \leq a$ and $a' \neq a$.

In theorem 3.14 we have described the Losev-Manin moduli space as the limit over moduli spaces of quiver representations $\mathcal{M}^\theta(P_n)$. By corollary 2.8 this is the same as the limit over moduli spaces of representations of the quiver Q_{n+2} for weights in a neighbourhood of a vertex of $\Delta(2, n+2)$: we have $\overline{L}_n = \varprojlim_{\theta<a} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_{n+2})$ for $a = (1,1,\varepsilon,\dots,\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{Q}^{n+2}$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. On the other hand for the same weight a we have $\overline{L}_n \cong \overline{M}_{0,a}$. We show that a similar statement relating Hassett moduli spaces of weighted n-pointed curves of genus zero to an inverse limit of moduli spaces of representations of Q_n holds in general.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ such that $0 < a_i \leq 1$, $|a| = \sum_i a_i > 2$. The Hassett moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ is the limit over the moduli spaces of representations $\mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n)$ for $\theta \in P(a) = \{\theta \in \Delta(2,n) \mid \theta < a\}$, i.e.*

$$\overline{M}_{0,a} \;\cong\; \varprojlim_{\theta < a} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n).$$

Proof. We verify that the results in subsection 2.4 remain valid if we consider the limit over weights in the convex polytope $P(a)$ and obtain the following analogon of proposition 2.15: The functor $\varprojlim_{\theta<a} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q_n)$ is isomorphic to the functor $\mathrm{Lim}(Q_n, a)$ defined by

$$Y \;\mapsto\; \left\{ (\varphi_{s^\theta})_\theta \in \prod\nolimits_{\theta<a \text{ generic}} \mathcal{M}^\theta(Q)(Y) \;\middle|\; \textit{equations (2.7) hold} \right\}$$

As in the proof of theorem 3.15 there is a description in terms of the weights θ^T, but one has to take into consideration that for some $(s^\theta)_{\theta \leq a}$ defining an element of $\mathrm{Lim}(Q_n, a)(Y)$ there might be points $y \in Y$ such that the polytopes $\Theta(s^\theta(y))$ for $\theta < a$ do not cover $\Delta(2,n)$ and leave out some of the θ^T. So $\mathrm{Lim}(Q_n, a)$ is isomorphic to the functor $\mathrm{Lim}'(Q_n, a)$ defined by

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} (\varphi_{s^{\theta^T}})_T \in \prod_T \mathcal{M}^{\theta^T}(Q_n)(Y^T) & \textit{equations (2.7) hold} \\ \textit{where } Y^T \subseteq Y \textit{ open} & \forall\, T\, \forall\, y \in Y^T \colon \mathrm{int}\left(P(a) \cap \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y))\right) \neq \emptyset \\ & \forall\, y \in Y \colon P(a) \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in Y^T} \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y)) \\ & \forall\, T, T'\, \forall\, y \in Y^T \colon \theta^{T'} \in \Theta(s^{\theta^T}(y)) \Rightarrow y \in Y^{T'} \end{array} \right\}$$

As in the proof of theorem 3.15 the equations relating $s^{\theta^T}, s^{\theta^{T'}}$ for $|T \cap T'| = 2$ are sufficient and we introduce the sections $s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4}$. We obtain the functor $\mathrm{Lim}''(Q_n, a)$ defined by

$$Y \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} & (0)\ \forall\, T = \{i_1,i_2,i_3\}, |T| = 3\colon \\ & s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_1} = (0\colon\!1), s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_2} = (1\colon\!0), s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_3} = (1\colon\!1) \\ \textit{families of sections} & (1)\ \forall\, I = \{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4\}, |I| = 4\colon \\ s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4} \colon Y^T \to \mathbb{P}^1_{Y^T} & \textit{equations (3.3) and (3.4) hold} \\ \textit{for } i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4 \in \{1,\dots,n\} & (2)\ \forall\, J = \{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5\}, |J| = 5\colon \\ \textit{such that } |\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}| = 3, & s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,0} = s^{i_1,i_2,i_4}_{i_5,1} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_5,0} s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{i_4,1} \\ Y^T \subseteq Y \textit{ open} & (3)\ \forall\, T\, \forall\, y \in Y^T \colon \mathrm{int}\left(P(a) \cap \Theta(s^T(y))\right) \neq \emptyset \\ & (4)\ \forall\, y \in Y \colon P(a) \subseteq \bigcup_{y \in Y^T} \Theta(s^T(y)) \\ & (5)\ \forall\, T, T'\, \forall\, y \in Y^{T'} \colon \theta^T \in \Theta(s^{T'}(y)) \Rightarrow y \in Y^T \end{array} \right\}$$

where we use s^T for $(s^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_j)_j$ in case the order of elements of $T = \{i_1,i_2,i_3\}$ is irrelevant.

To show that this functor coincides with functor (4.2) we compare conditions (3),(4),(5) in both. Let $(J_l(y,T))_l$ and $w(y,T,a)$ be defined as in theorem 4.3, θ, a' will always be elements of $\mathbb{Q}^n_{>0}$. Concerning condition (3) for a given T and $y \in Y^T$ we have the equivalences

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{int}(P(a) \cap \Theta(s^T(y))) \neq \emptyset & \iff & \exists\, \theta < a, |\theta| = 2\, \forall\, l \colon \sum_{i \in J_l(y,T)} \theta_i < 1 \\ & \iff & \exists\, a' < a \colon\; w(y,a',T) = |a'| > 2 \\ & \iff & w(y,a,T) > 2. \end{array}$$

Concerning condition (4) for fixed $y \in Y$ we have the equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} P(a) \subseteq \textstyle\bigcup_{y\in Y^T} \Theta(s^T(y)) &\iff \forall\, \theta < a, |\theta| = 2\ \exists\, T\colon y \in Y^T,\ s^T(y)\ \theta\text{-semistable} \\ &\iff \forall\, \theta < a, |\theta| = 2\ \exists\, T\colon y \in Y^T,\ \forall\, l\colon \textstyle\sum_{i\in J_l(y,T)} \theta_i \leq 1 \\ &\iff \forall\, \theta < a, |\theta| = 2\ \exists\, T\colon y \in Y^T, w(y,T,\theta) = 2 \\ &\iff \forall\, a' \leq a, |a'| > 2\ \exists\, T\colon y \in Y^T, w(y,T,a') > 2. \end{aligned}$$

where for the implication "$\Longrightarrow$" of the last equivalence use that for $a' \leq a, |a'| > 2$ and $\theta \in \operatorname{int}(P(a'))$ we have $a' = \theta + b$ with $b \in \mathbb{Q}^n_{>0}$. Concerning condition (5) we have $\theta^T \in \Theta(s^{T'}(y)) \iff s^{T'}\ \theta^T\text{-stable} \iff (s^{T'}_j)_{j\in T}$ *pairwise distinct*.

Thus $\operatorname{Lim}''(Q_n, a)$ is isomorphic to the functor of $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ in (4.2). □

Remark 4.5. Our results fit well with the chamber decomposition in [Ha03, section 5] and the observation in [Ha03, section 8] that the corresponding GIT quotient can be interpreted as the moduli spaces $\overline{M}_{0,a}$ for $|a| = 2$. In particular, we recover [Ha03, Theorem 8.2, Theorem 8.3].

References

[Al14] J. Alper, *Adequate moduli spaces and geometrically reductive group schemes*, Algebraic Geometry 1 (2014), 489–531, arXiv:1005.2398.

[An73] S. Anantharaman, *Schémas en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1*, Sur les groupes algébriques, pp. 5–79. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mem. 33, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973.

[BB11] V. Batyrev, M. Blume, *The functor of toric varieties associated with Weyl chambers and Losev-Manin moduli spaces*, Tohoku Math. J. 63 (2011), arXiv:0911.3607.

[Br12] S. Brochard, *Topologies de Grothendieck, descente, quotients*, Autour des schémas en groupes. Vol. I, 162, Panor. Synthèses, 42/43, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2014, arXiv:1210.0431.

[Ch08] C. Chindris, *Notes on GIT-fans for quivers*, arXiv:0805.1440.

[DH98] I. Dolgachev, Y. Hu, *Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients*, Publ. Math. IHES 87 (1998), 5–51, arXiv:alg-geom/9402008.

[EGA] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES 4,8,11,17,20,24,28,32 (1960–1967).

[EGA1] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique I*, Springer, 1971.

[GHP88] L. Gerritzen, F. Herrlich and M. van der Put, Stable n-pointed trees of projective lines, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Indag. Math. 50 (1988), 131–163.

[GKZ] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, A. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, Resultants, and Multidimensional Determinants*, Birkhäuser, 1994.

[Ha03] B. Hassett, Moduli spaces of weighted pointed stable curves, Adv. Math. 173 (2003), 316–352, arXiv:math/0205009.

[HP02] L. Hille, J. de la Peña, *Stable representations of quivers*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 172 (2002), 205–224.

[Ka93a] M. Kapranov, *Chow quotients of Grassmannians I*, Adv. Soviet Math. 16 (1993), 29–110, arXiv:alg-geom/9210002.

[Ka93b] M. Kapranov, *Veronese curves and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space* $\overline{M}_{0,n}$, J. Alg. Geom. 2 (1993), 239–262.

[Ki94] A. King, *Moduli of representations of finite dimensional algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford 45 (1994), 515–530.

[Kn83] F. Knudsen, The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves II: The stacks $M_{g,n}$, Math. Scand. 52 (1983), 161–199.

[KSZ91] M. Kapranov, B. Sturmfels, and A. Zelevinsky, *Quotients of toric varieties*, Math. Ann. 290, 643–655 (1991).

[La69] D. Lazard, *Autour de la platitude*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 97 (1969), 81–128.

[LM00] A. Losev and Yu. Manin, *New moduli spaces of pointed curves and pencils of flat connections*, Michigan Math. J. 48 (2000), 443–472, arXiv:math/0001003.

[MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Springer, 1994.

[Re00] N. Ressayre, *The GIT-equivalence for G-line bundles*, Geom. Dedicata 81 (2000), 295–324, arXiv:math/9811053.

[Ses77] C. Seshadri, *Geometric reductivity over arbitrary base*, Adv. Math. 26 (1977), 225–274.

[Sek94] J. Sekiguchi, *Cross Ratio Varieties for Root Systems*, Kyushu J. Math. 1 (1994), 123–168.

[Sek96] J. Sekiguchi, *Cross Ratio Varieties for Root Systems of Type A and the Terada Model*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 3 (1996), 181–197.

[SGA7(1)] A. Grothendieck et al., *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique, Groupes de Monodromie en Géométrie Algébrique*, Tome 1, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 288, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – New York, 1972.

[SP] The Stacks Project, http://stacks.math.columbia.edu.

[Th96] M. Thaddeus, *Geometric invariant theory and flips*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 691–723, arXiv:alg-geom/9405004.

Mark Blume
Mathematisches Institut
Universität Münster
Einsteinstrasse 62
48149 Münster
Germany
mark.blume@uni-muenster.de

Lutz Hille
Mathematisches Institut
Universität Münster
Einsteinstrasse 62
48149 Münster
Germany
lutzhille@uni-muenster.de